Open Access

Neutrophil and mononuclear leukocyte pathways and upstream regulators revealed by serum proteomics of adult and juvenile dermatomyositis

Check for updates

A. Clare Sparling¹, James M. Ward², Kakali Sarkar¹, Adam Schiffenbauer¹, Payam Noroozi Farhadi¹, Michael A. Smith³, Saifur Rahman³, Kamelia Zerrouki³, Frederick W. Miller², Jian-Liang Li², Kerry A. Casey³ and Lisa G. Rider^{1*}

Abstract

Objectives Serum protein abundance was assessed in adult and juvenile dermatomyositis (DM and JDM) patients to determine differentially regulated proteins, altered pathways, and candidate disease activity biomarkers.

Methods Serum protein expression from 17 active adult DM and JDM patients each was compared to matched, healthy control subjects by a multiplex immunoassay. Pathway analysis and protein clustering of the differentially regulated proteins were examined to assess underlying mechanisms. Candidate disease activity biomarkers were identified by correlating protein expression with disease activity measures.

Results Seventy-eight of 172 proteins were differentially expressed in the sera of DM and JDM patients compared to healthy controls. Forty-eight proteins were differentially expressed in DM, 32 proteins in JDM, and 14 proteins in both DM and JDM. Twelve additional differentially expressed proteins were identified after combining the DM and JDM cohorts. C-X-C motif chemokine ligand 10 (CXCL10) was the most strongly upregulated protein in both DM and JDM sera. Other highly upregulated proteins in DM included S100 calcium binding protein A12 (S100A12), CXCL9, and nicotinamide phosphoribosyltransferase (NAMPT), while highly upregulated proteins in JDM included matrix metallopeptidase 3 (MMP3), growth differentiation factor 15 (GDF15), and von Willebrand factor (vWF). Pathway analysis indicated that phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K), p38 mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK), and toll-like receptor 7 (TLR7) signaling were activated in DM and JDM. Additional pathways specific to DM or JDM were identified. A protein cluster associated with neutrophils and mononuclear leukocytes and a cluster of interferon-associated proteins were observed in both DM and JDM. Twenty-two proteins in DM and 24 proteins in JDM sera correlated with global, muscle, and/or skin disease activity. Seven proteins correlated with disease activity biomarker in DM and JDM.

*Correspondence: Lisa G. Rider riderl@mail.nih.gov

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



This is a U.S. Government work and not under copyright protection in the US; foreign copyright protection may apply 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

Conclusion Coordinate analysis of protein expression in DM and JDM patient sera by a multiplex immunoassay validated previous gene expression studies and identified novel dysregulated proteins, altered signaling pathways, and candidate disease activity biomarkers. These findings may further inform the assessment of DM and JDM patients and aid in the identification of potential therapeutic targets.

Keywords Dermatomyositis, Juvenile dermatomyositis, Biomarkers, Disease activity, Neutrophils, Monocytes, Multiplex proteomics

Introduction

Myositis is a heterogeneous group of rare systemic autoimmune diseases characterized by chronic inflammation in skeletal muscle [1]. It is thought to be caused by a combination of genetic and environmental factors. Dermatomyositis in adults and children (DM, JDM) has characteristic cutaneous features and can be subclassified by myositis-specific autoantibodies (MSAs) [1]. While both DM and JDM patients exhibit photosensitive rashes, proximal weakness, and other similar illness features, they differ in certain clinical features. For example, DM is associated with an increased risk of interstitial lung disease and cancer, while calcinosis is more common in JDM patients [1, 2].

Gene and protein expression, histopathology, and other studies of affected tissues have provided insight into the molecular mechanisms underlying DM and JDM. Adaptive immune cells, such as B cells, CD4+and CD8+T cells, and innate immune cells, such as dendritic cells (DCs), macrophages, and neutrophils, have been shown to infiltrate DM and JDM muscle and skin tissues [3–5]. A type I and II interferon (IFN) gene signature has been widely reported in the peripheral blood and affected skin and muscle of DM and JDM patients [4, 6–8]. Recent studies have demonstrated upregulated neutrophil degranulation pathways in DM and JDM, and neutrophil extracellular traps (NETs) are thought to directly contribute to tissue damage [9–11].

Due to the heterogeneity and complexity of DM and JDM, reliable biomarkers are needed to inform diagnosis, prognosis, and response to treatment [12]. Serum muscle enzymes, including creatine kinase (CK), aldolase, and lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), are commonly used as disease activity biomarkers in DM and JDM. However, these enzymes often fail to correlate with muscle weakness and rashes present during chronically active disease [13]. Thus, additional measures are needed to monitor disease activity. Further, few studies have directly compared DM and JDM disease activity biomarkers or used a systematic protein platform that examines multiple proteins simultaneously [12, 14].

In this study, a broad protein immunoassay examined patient sera from active DM and JDM patients undergoing treatment to identify dysregulated proteins, altered pathways, and candidate disease activity biomarkers. Similarities and differences in these proteins, pathways, and biomarkers between DM and JDM patients were also investigated.

Materials/methods

Patients and sample collection

Patients (17 DM, 17 JDM) that met definite European Alliance of Associations for Rheumatology - American College of Rheumatology (EULAR-ACR) criteria were enrolled in an institutional review board approved myositis natural history study (NCT00059748) at the National Institutes of Health (NIH) Clinical Center [15]. Seventeen adult healthy controls were selected from the internal donor program approved by the MedImmune institutional review board and matched to DM patients by sex and age within a decade; race/ethnicity was unavailable. Fifteen pediatric healthy controls were enrolled at the NIH Clinical Center and matched to JDM patients by sex, age within six years, and race/ethnicity. Two MedImmune healthy control subjects were also matched to JDM patients by sex and age within a decade. International Myositis Assessment and Clinical Studies (IMACS) Group and Paediatric Rheumatology INternational Trials Organisation (PRINTO) core set measures were used to assess disease activity in DM and JDM patients [16, 17]. Autoantibodies were tested via immunoprecipitation (IP), immunoblotting (IB), IP-IB, and enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay methods [18-21]. Sera were collected and stored at -80 °C. The majority of these patients were included in a prior study on transcriptomics by microarray and proteomics by SomaLogic [11].

Protein measurement and expression analysis

Serum protein measurements of 282 proteins were determined by a quantitative multiplex immunoassay using the Human DiscoveryMAP v. 3.3 Multi-Analyte Panel (Myriad Rules-Based Medicine [RBM], Austin, TX). Protein concentrations were log2-transformed. One-hundred ten proteins had undetectable serum concentrations in some patients and were excluded from the study. Differentially expressed proteins were validated with SOMAscan Assay v3.2 (SomaLogic) aptamer-based DNA probes, as previously described [11].

DM and JDM patients and matched controls were grouped into adult, juvenile, or combined (adult and juvenile) categories for analysis. Normally distributed proteins were defined through a Shapiro-Wilk test on the residuals of the log-transformed data, with p < 0.05. For normally distributed proteins, a two-sided, unpaired moderated t-test was performed using limma (version 3.40.6) [16, 22]. A blocking factor for patient cohort was included in the combined analysis of DM and JDM patients. A two-sided Mann-Whitney test was used for non-normally distributed proteins. Significantly altered protein concentrations were defined as Benjamini-Hochberg (BH) adjusted p < 0.1 and |fold change| > 1.1 compared to control subjects [23]. P-values were adjusted independently for the limma and Mann-Whitney analyses. Entrez gene symbols were substituted for RBM protein identifiers to allow comparison across platforms using UniProt's ID mapping tool. Heatmaps were created using ComplexHeatmap in R [24]. The superset of 78 dysregulated proteins across these comparisons was used for subsequent analyses.

Protein clusters

Spearman correlations were calculated among the 78 dysregulated proteins, separately in DM and JDM patients. Correlations among proteins with p <0.05 and |Spearman's rank correlation coefficient| > 0.4 were plotted on a heatmap. Correlations that did not meet these thresholds were assigned a value of "NA," so that such proteins did not influence the protein clustering. The two largest protein clusters in each heatmap were further examined in additional heatmaps. Cell type-specific protein expression profiles obtained from ProteinAtlas.org were analyzed for the largest protein cluster [11]. Potential associations between proteins in the second largest protein cluster and IFNs were determined using the Interferome software (version 2.0) [25].

Pathway enrichment

Differentially regulated proteins, 48 in DM and 32 in JDM, were independently tested for enrichment of canonical pathways and biological functions using Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA) (version 23.0). The whole genome was used as background, only human data was included, and the threshold for reporting significance was an adjusted p<0.05 [26]. Predicted upstream regulators of the differentially regulated proteins were determined, and those with p<0.05 and |activation z-score| > 1.5 were reported. A regulatory effects diagram was created for DM using the IPA software to examine upstream regulators with strong interconnectedness between functions and molecules [26].

Disease activity correlations

Spearman rank correlations were calculated between the 78 dysregulated proteins and disease activity measures for DM patients, and separately for JDM patients. Measures of global activity included total scores for the Disease Activity Score (DAS) and the Myositis Disease Activity Assessment Tool (MDAAT), as well as Physician (MD) Global Disease Activity [27]. Manual Muscle Testing of 26 proximal, distal, and axial muscles (MMT26), Childhood Myositis Assessment Scale (CMAS), and DAS Muscle were used as measures of muscle disease activity [27]. DAS Skin and MDAAT Cutaneous visual analog scale (VAS) were used as measures of skin disease activity [27]. Correlation coefficients for MMT26 and CMAS were multiplied by negative one for consistent directionality. Correlations of proteins measured by the RBM platform with serum levels of muscle enzymes were also examined, including LDH, aldolase, and CK. Correlations with p < 0.05 and |Spearman's rank correlation coefficient| > 0.4 were clustered in a heatmap, with correlations below these thresholds assigned a value of "NA".

Results

Patient characteristics between the DM and JDM patients were similar in terms of race and ethnicity, MSAs, disease duration, disease activity, and daily glucocorticoid dose (Table 1). All patients, except for one with DM, were receiving treatment during the study. JDM patients were receiving a greater number of immunosuppressive or immunomodulatory medications compared to DM patients. Medications included immunosuppressive drugs, such as prednisone and methotrexate, and biologics such as rituximab and intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIg) (Table 1).

Differentially expressed proteins in DM and JDM

Forty-eight and 32 serum proteins were differentially expressed in DM and in JDM patients, respectively. Of these proteins, 14 were differentially expressed in both DM and JDM patients (Supplementary Fig. 1). The combined cohort with both the adult and juvenile datasets identified 12 additional proteins that were differentially expressed between DM/JDM patients and healthy controls. In total, 78 serum proteins were differentially expressed in DM and JDM patients (Fig. 1). SomaLogic was used to validate the differentially expressed proteins. Of the 48 and 32 differentially expressed proteins in DM and JDM, respectively, 39 and 22 were present in the SomaLogic assay, and 17 and 9 were identified as differentially expressed in the SomaLogic assay in DM and JDM (43.6% and 40.9% confirmation, respectively). For DM, 31 of the 39 shared proteins had a concordant foldchange direction between the SomaLogic and RBM platforms (81.6% confirmation). For JDM, 20 of the 22 shared proteins had a concordant fold-change direction (90.9% confirmation).

The C-X-C motif chemokine ligand 10 (CXCL10; or IP-10) protein had the highest fold change (>10.0) in both

Feature	DM (<i>n</i> = 17)	JDM (n = 17)	
	Median [Q1, Q3] or <i>n</i> (%)	Median [Q1, Q3] or <i>n</i> (%)	
Age (years)	52.6 [49.9, 61.7]*	13.3 [9.4, 16.6]*	
Female subjects	15 (88.2%)	9 (52.9%)	
Race and ethnicity			
African American	4 (23.5%)	2 (11.8%)	
Asian	0 (0.0%)	2 (11.8%)	
Hispanic	0 (0.0%)	2 (11.8%)	
White	13 (76.5%)	11 (64.7%)	
Myositis-specific autoantibodies			
NXP2	5 (29.4%)	6 (35.3%)	
TIF-1	5 (29.4%)	3 (17.6%)	
MDA5	1 (5.9%)	5 (29.4%)	
Mi2	1 (5.9%)	0 (0.0%)	
Jo-1	3 (17.6%)	0 (0.0%)	
None	3 (17.6%)	3 (17.6%)	
Disease duration (years)	1.5 [1.0, 10.0]	3.5 [0.5, 5.8]	
Daily glucocorticoid dose (mg/kg/day)	0.06 [0.04, 0.26]	0.23 [0.0, 0.51]	
Additional immune therapies†	1.0 [1.0, 2.0]*	3.0 [2.0, 4.0]*	
Disease Activity Measures (potential range)			
Global Activity			
MD Global (0–10 cm VAS)	1.9 [0.4, 6.3]	1.9 [1.6, 4.2]	
MDAAT Total (0–52)	18.0 [11.5, 27.0]	10.0 [8.0, 17.5]	
DAS Total (0–20)	11.0 [9.0, 13.5]	10.5 [8.0, 13.5]	
Muscle Activity			
Total MMT (0-260)	236.0 [201.0, 245.5] ‡	246.0 [234.5, 260.0] ‡	
CMAS (0-52)	27.0 [0.0, 48.5]	46.0 [37.5, 48.0]	
DAS Muscle (0–11)	5.0 [3.8, 8.0]	4.5 [2.8, 8.0]	
Skin Activity			
DAS Skin (0–9)	6.0 [4.5, 7.0]	5.0 [5.0, 6.0]	
MDAAT Cutaneous (0–10 cm VAS)	2.1 [0.3, 5.0]	2.4 [1.3, 4.2]	
Enzymes			
Aldolase (1–7 U/L)	4.5 [5.0, 8.7]	6.3 [6.0, 8.8]	
CK (30–252 U/L)	113 [70, 163]	87 [65, 114]	
LDH (125–226 U/L)	225 [186, 296]	184 [151, 210]	

Table 1 Clinical and demographic characteristics of adult and juvenile dermatomyositis patients

* DM v. JDM *p* < 0.0001

+ Additional immunotherapies received at study enrollment (#DM, #JDM): methylprednisolone (0, 9), methotrexate [9, 10], hydroxychloroquine [4, 9], azathioprine (1, 0), cyclosporin (1, 0), mycophenolate [3, 6], tacrolimus (0, 1), cyclophosphamide (0, 1), IV gammaglobulin (IVIg) [4, 11], rituximab [1], and tofacitinib (0, 1) + DM v. JDM p < 0.05

Abbreviations: DM, dermatomyositis; JDM, juvenile dermatomyositis; Q1, first quartile; Q3, third quartile; MD, Physician; VAS, visual analog scale; MDAAT, Myositis Disease Activity Assessment Tool; DAS, Disease Activity Score; MMT, Manual Muscle Testing; CMAS, Childhood Myositis Assessment Scale; CK, creatine kinase; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase

DM and JDM patients compared to matched healthy controls (Supplementary Table 1). Seven other proteins had a fold change>3.0 in DM patients compared to their matched healthy controls: S100 calcium binding protein A12 (S100A12; or ENRAGE), CXCL9 (MIG), nicotinamide phosphoribosyltransferase (NAMPT; or visfatin), C-C motif chemokine ligand 8 (CCL8; or MCP2), heat shock protein family A member 1 A (HSPA1A; or Hsp70), lactotransferrin (LTF) and S100A6 (alarmin). Two other proteins had a fold change>3.0 in JDM patients compared to their matched healthy controls: matrix metallopeptidase 3 (MMP3), and growth differentiation factor 15 (GDF15).

Co-regulated protein clusters

Multiple protein clusters with strong protein-protein correlations were visible in DM patients (Fig. 2A). The largest cluster consisted of 12 proteins (Fig. 2B): S100A6, NAMPT, HSPA1A, interleukin 16 (IL-16), LTF, S100A12, myeloperoxidase (MPO), lipocalin 2 (LCN2; or NGAL), resistin (RETN), tenascin C (TNC), urokinase receptor plasminogen activator (PLAUR; or uPAR), and macrophage migration inhibitory factor (MIF). These proteins



Fig. 1 Differentially expressed proteins in adult dermatomyositis (DM) and juvenile dermatomyositis (JDM) patients compared to healthy controls. Red indicates upregulation, blue indicates down regulation, and white indicates no change. The row groupings indicate the proteins that had significantly different expression between DM or JDM patients and healthy controls in the adult analysis (adult), the juvenile analysis (juvenile), both the adult and juvenile analyses (shared), as well as the new proteins that arose when the adult and juvenile datasets were combined (combined). Abbreviations: CON, adult control; DM, adult dermatomyositis; JCON, juvenile control; JDM, juvenile dermatomyositis; FC, fold change

were all upregulated in DM patients compared to their matched healthy controls. This cluster of proteins was associated with cell type-specific protein expression in neutrophils, monocytes, and myeloid DCs (mDCs) (Fig. 2C).

Multiple protein correlation clusters were visible in JDM patients (Supplementary Fig. 2A), the largest of which contained 14 proteins (Supplementary Fig. 2B).

This cluster included some proteins in common with DM: HSPA1A, IL-16, LCN2, LTF, MIF, MPO, NAMPT, PLAUR, RETN, S100A6, and S100A12. Additional proteins in the cluster specific to JDM included: cystatin C (CST3), parkinsonism associated deglycase (PARK7), and superoxide dismutase 1 (SOD1). Of these proteins, only RETN was differentially expressed between JDM patients and their matched healthy controls (Supplementary Table



Fig. 2 Protein-protein correlations in adult dermatomyositis (DM) patients identified a cluster associated with neutrophil, monocyte, and myeloid dendritic cell expression. (**A**) DM protein clustering of the 78 differentially expressed proteins. Correlations that met the thresholds of p < 0.05 and |Spearman's rank correlation coefficient| > 0.4 were clustered. (**B**) The largest protein cluster, boxed in panel A, was further examined. (**C**) Relative expression levels of the clustered proteins in immune cell lineages. Abbreviations: DM, adult dermatomyositis; NA, not applicable

1). These proteins were also associated with cell typespecific protein expression in neutrophils, monocytes, mDCs, and plasmacytoid DCs (pDCs) (Supplementary Fig. 2C).

A cluster of IFN-associated proteins was apparent in both DM and JDM patients (Supplementary Fig. 3A,

3 C). Proteins present in both the DM and JDM clusters included beta-2 microglobulin (B2M), CCL8, CXCL9, CXCL10, tumor necrosis factor (TNF) receptor superfamily member 1B (TNFRSF1B), and GDF15. Proteins specific to the DM cluster included cluster of differentiation 40 (CD40) and von Willebrand factor (vWF)

(Supplementary Fig. 3B). Proteins specific to the JDM cluster included CCL13, CCL15, intercellular adhesion molecule 1 (ICAM1), TNF superfamily member 13 (TNFSF13; or APRIL), and TNFSF13B (BAFF) (Supplementary Fig. 3D). All proteins in the DM IFN-associated cluster were upregulated in DM patients compared to their matched healthy controls, while in the JDM cluster, B2M, CXCL9, CXCL10, GDF15, and TNFSF13 were upregulated compared to matched healthy controls (Supplementary Table 1). All proteins in the DM cluster and the majority of proteins in the JDM cluster were associated with type I and II IFNs, except ICAM1 and CCL15, which were only associated with type II IFNs [25].

Biological functions and upstream regulators

Pathway analysis was conducted independently for the differentially expressed proteins, 48 proteins in DM patients and 32 in JDM. The top associated pathways found in both DM and JDM included granulocyte and agranulocyte adhesion and diapedesis and pathogen induced cytokine storm signaling (Supplementary Table 2). Although these pathways were shared between DM and JDM, a number of the specific protein targets underlying the pathways were distinct, as indicated in the table. Pathways identified only in DM included Liver X Receptor/Retinoic X Receptor (LXR/RXR) Activation and 24-dehydrocholesterol reductase (DHCR24), and those identified exclusively in JDM included two osteoblast pathways (Supplementary Table 2).

These pathways are also represented in the top biologic functions, which are comprised of multiple pathways. The top biological functions identified in both DM and JDM were cellular movement, cell-to-cell signaling and interaction, and cell death and survival (Supplementary Table 3). Although these biological functions were shared between DM and JDM, many of the underlying target proteins differed between the two groups, as indicated in the table. Biological functions identified exclusively in DM included cell cycle and gene expression, while those identified only in JDM included cellular development and cellular function and maintenance (Supplementary Table 3).

Eighteen upstream regulators were identified in DM and four in JDM patients (Supplementary Table 4). Phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K), p38 mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK), and toll-like receptor 7 (TLR7) were predicted to be activated in both DM and JDM. In DM, erythroblastic oncogene B (ERBB2; or HER2), IFNgamma (IFNG), IL-18, IL-1A, IL-1B, IL-1R, MAPK8 (JNK1), nuclear factor kappa beta complex (NF-κB complex), non-POU domain containing octamer binding protein (NONO), RELA proto-oncogene NF-κB subunit (RELA), signal transducer and activator of transcription 1 (STAT1), TLR9, and TNF were also predicted to be activated. Estrogen receptor and interleukin enhancer binding factor 3 (ILF3) were predicted to be inhibited in DM. In JDM, STAT3 was predicted to be inhibited.

Fig. 3 depicts the upstream regulatory factors present in DM, based on the dysregulated proteins expressed in patient sera. p38 MAPK, IL-1A, and IL-1B were predicted to activate phagocyte migration and leukocyte movement, and to inhibit cell surface binding. The connection between the signaling pathways and phagocyte migration was found to be mediated by CD40, ICAM1, MIF, CCL8, and CXCL10. The connection between the signaling pathways and cell movement of mononuclear leukocytes was found to be mediated by ICAM1, MIF, S100A12, CCL8, CXCL10, thrombospondin 1 (THBS1), CXCL9, and CCL13 (MCP4). Finally, the connection between the signaling pathways and inhibition of cell surface binding was found to be mediated by CCL8, CXCL10, CXCL9, and CCL13. Additional proteins, such as galectin 3 (LGALS3) and IL-16, were associated with the identified functions but not with the upstream regulator.

Protein correlation with disease activity measures

Correlations between serum concentrations of the 78 differentially expressed proteins and disease activity measures were determined for DM and JDM patients (Fig. 4). Nineteen and 17 proteins correlated at least moderately with global disease activity in DM and JDM, respectively. Seven of these proteins were shared between DM and JDM, including IL-1 receptor like 1 (IL1RL1), orosomucoid 1 (ORM1), and tissue type plasminogen activator (PLAT; or tPA). Twelve and four proteins correlated at least moderately with muscle disease activity in DM and JDM, respectively. PLAT correlated with muscle disease activity in both DM and JDM. Finally, four and 13 proteins correlated at least moderately with skin disease activity in DM and JDM patients, respectively. IL1RL1 correlated with skin disease activity in both DM and JDM.

In DM, some proteins correlated with global, muscle, and skin disease activity, such as IL1RL1, MMP3, and tyrosine-protein kinase KIT (KIT). In JDM, no proteins correlated with global, muscle, and skin disease activity. Other proteins correlated with a specific disease activity category. In DM patients, IL-6R, cystatin B (CSTB), and WAP four-disulfide core domain 2 (WFDC2; or HE4) correlated with global disease activity, but not with muscle or skin disease activity. In JDM patients, pro-platelet basic protein (PPBP; or NAP2), IL1RL1, TNFSF13B, CXCL9, GDF15, and WFDC2 correlated with JDM skin disease activity, but not with muscle disease activity, but not with skin disease activity. IL1RL1 correlated with multiple DM and JDM disease activity measures (Fig. 4).



Fig. 3 Differentially expressed proteins in adult dermatomyositis (DM) patients are involved in p38 MAPK signaling and immune cell movement. The top of the diagram depicts predicted upstream regulators, below which measured proteins are displayed in ovals. Below the measured proteins, predicted functional outputs of the measured proteins are displayed in three ovals. At the bottom of the diagram, measured proteins associated with the identified functions, but not the upstream regulators, are displayed. Orange boxes and lines indicate predicted activation; light blue boxes and lines indicate predicted inhibition; olive lines indicate the relationship is inconsistent with the state of the downstream molecule; gray lines indicate an association without predicted directionality. Red ovals indicate a positive fold change; dark blue ovals indicate a negative fold change. Abbreviations: DM, adult dermatomyositis

Significant correlations between RBM proteins and serum levels of muscle enzymes, including LDH, aldolase, and CK, are shown in Supplementary Fig. 4. Many of the proteins that correlated with muscle disease activity also correlated with serum muscle enzyme levels. For example, MMP3 and IL1RL1 correlated with muscle disease activity and also with muscle enzyme levels in DM. Similarly, PLAT and vWF correlated with muscle disease activity and muscle enzyme levels in JDM.

Discussion

As part of a broader study examining the immunome of patients with DM and JDM [11], this study explored differentially regulated serum proteins in treated DM and JDM patients with persistently active disease. It validated previous reports of differentially regulated proteins, including CXCL10 and GDF15, and identified several new proteins associated with DM and JDM. The multiplex proteomic immunoassay used here allowed the study of multiple pathways in order to go beyond individual protein identification and instead characterize relationships among dysregulated proteins. Using the observed differentially regulated proteins, pathway analysis predicted upstream regulators, including PI3K, p38 MAPK, and TLR7. Protein-protein clustering analysis identified two notable subsets of tightly correlated proteins: one associated with neutrophils, monocytes, and DCs and another composed of IFN-associated proteins. Many of the differentially regulated proteins also correlated with global, muscle, and/or skin disease activity measures and may serve as candidate biomarkers of active disease. Most of these candidate disease activity biomarkers were distinct between DM and JDM; however, several were identified in both subgroups. These findings provide insight into the pathophysiology of DM and JDM and may inform the clinical assessment of patients with persistent disease.

Seventy-eight proteins were differentially regulated in the sera of DM and/or JDM patients compared to healthy controls; fourteen of these proteins were shared between the two subgroups. The shared proteins included several inflammatory factors previously reported to be elevated



Fig. 4 Correlations between differentially regulated proteins in adult dermatomyositis (DM) and juvenile dermatomyositis (JDM) patients and myositis disease activity measures. (**A**) Disease activity measures were categorized as measures of global, muscle, or skin disease activity. Correlations that met the thresholds of *p* < 0.05 and |Spearman's rank correlation coefficient| > 0.4 are displayed. Red indicates a positive correlation; blue indicates a negative correlation. The left heatmap depicts DM correlations; the right heatmap depicts JDM correlations. (**B**) Comparisons of the proteins that correlated at least moderately with global, muscle, and skin disease activity in DM versus JDM patients. Shared and distinct correlated proteins from each category are tabulated below their respective Venn diagram. Abbreviations: DM, adult dermatomyositis; JDM, juvenile dermatomyositis; DAS, Disease Activity Score; MDAAT, Myositis Disease Activity Assessment Tool; MMT, Manual Muscle Testing; CMAS, Childhood Myositis Assessment Scale; NA, not applicable

in DM and JDM patient sera: CXCL10 and CXCL9, cytokines that bind CXCR3 to promote immune cell activation and migration; GDF15, a cytokine involved in stress response pathways during cellular injury; MMP3, a matrix metalloproteinase associated with immunemediated tissue injury; and vWF, a marker of endothelial damage [11, 28–36]. Other proteins exhibited dysregulation in only one subgroup. For example, proteins only changed in DM included a novel GC vitamin D binding protein (GC), which is associated with neutrophils, and the inflammatory markers NAMPT and S100A12 as previously reported [11, 37]. NAMPT has also been implicated in muscle homeostasis [38]. Proteins only changed in JDM included: fatty acid binding protein 4 (FABP4) and angiopoietin like 4 (ANGPTL4), both of which are involved in lipid metabolism; PLAT, involved in tissue remodeling and cell migration; collagen type IV alpha 4 chain (COL4A4), a component of basement membranes; and ORM1, associated with neutrophils. Each of these proteins represents a novel association with JDM, and together with the identification of GC in DM, they add insight into previously described mechanisms of DM and JDM pathogenesis, including roles for lipid metabolism, neutrophil regulation, and tissue remodeling [9-11, 39, 40].

Protein clustering and pathway analysis provided insight into the biological functions of the differentially regulated proteins. The largest protein cluster in DM and JDM was associated with mDCs, which have been shown to secrete type I IFNs in DM patients [41]. pDCs, which are potent secretors of type I IFNs, were also associated with the largest JDM protein cluster [42]. pDCs have been described as mediators of muscle and skin inflammation in untreated JDM patients, and their identification in the present study may reflect treatment-resistant disease activity [43, 44]. The presence of a smaller IFNassociated protein cluster in DM and JDM validates many studies, which have identified IFN signatures in the peripheral blood and affected tissues of DM and JDM patients [4, 6–8].

Additionally, granulocyte adhesion and diapedesis was a top associated pathway in both DM and JDM. Granulocyte signaling was further supported by protein-protein cluster analysis, which identified a group of proteins expressed by neutrophils and monocytes in both DM and JDM patients. These findings build upon previous studies that described upregulated neutrophil degranulation pathways and suggested that neutrophil dysregulation directly contributes to tissue damage in DM and JDM [9– 11, 39, 40]. DM and JDM protein changes were also both enriched in pathogen-induced cytokine storm signaling. This finding expands upon previous reports showing elevated cytokines in DM patients, and notably that the DM cytokine signature mirrored that of severe COVID-19 cases [11, 45, 46].

Osteoblast pathways were uniquely observed in JDM, interesting in part because calcinosis is more common in JDM than DM [47]. While osteoblast activity has been implicated in other diseases involving calcification, only a few studies have associated osteoblasts specifically with calcinosis [48, 49]. For example, one group reported osteoblast markers near calcium deposits in JDM, although osteoblasts themselves were not observed near or in calcinosis lesions [50]. Additional studies are needed to assess a mechanistic connection related to

osteoblast pathways in JDM, and whether this correlates with calcinosis in JDM patients.

Upstream regulatory analysis, based on the differentially expressed proteins, predicted activation of PI3K, p38 MAPK, and TLR7 signaling in both DM and JDM, which validated previous reports [11, 51]. Activated upstream regulators predicted only in DM included proinflammatory cytokines (IL-1A, IL-1B, IL-18, TNF), transcription factors (NF-kB), signaling mediators (MAPK8, STAT1), and innate immune receptors (IL-1R, TLR9), also supporting previous studies [46, 52-58]. Newly identified upstream regulators predicted only in DM included the activation of tyrosine kinase ERBB2 (HER2), and the inhibition of RNA binding protein ILF3. Predicted activation of ERBB2 only in DM is of interest given that DM, and not JDM, is associated with an increased risk of malignancy [2, 59], and ERBB2 over-expression is also associated with malignancies in numerous cancer types [60], although this needs further investigation in DM.

Predicted upstream regulators unique to JDM included inhibition of STAT3. Previous studies showed activated STAT3 signaling in DM, and increased STAT3 expression in DM compared to JDM [11, 61, 62]. STAT3 is a transcription factor with broad roles in inflammation and immunity [63]. Its role as a master regulator of Th17 development has been implicated in the pathogenesis of autoimmune diseases [64]. Given that elevated levels of Th17 cells have also been observed in DM compared to JDM [65], STAT3 signaling may reflect differences in the signaling pathways underlying DM and JDM and should be further studied.

Proteins were correlated with clinical disease activity measures to identify candidate biomarkers of disease activity. Thirty-nine of the 78 differentially regulated proteins strongly correlated with disease activity in DM, JDM, or both groups. Among these proteins, IL1RL1, an IL-33 receptor that stimulates MAPK signaling, emerged as a candidate global disease activity biomarker in both DM and JDM. In the present study, MAPK signaling was predicted to be activated in DM and JDM which has also been observed in previous studies [11, 66]. However, IL1RL1 has not been widely described as a DM or JDM biomarker; one report showed a positive correlation between serum IL1RL1 and DM global disease activity [67] and another group reported a strong positive correlation between serum IL1RL1 and disease activity in idiopathic inflammatory myopathies [68]. Further investigation of IL1RL1 as a candidate biomarker for DM and JDM global disease activity is needed.

Other candidate biomarkers correlated with specific target organs and/or subgroups. For example, MMP3 correlated well with DM, but not JDM, disease activity categories, while vWF was a good marker of JDM muscle disease activity. Additional candidate JDM skin disease

activity biomarkers included the proinflammatory cytokines CXCL9, GDF15, and TNFSF13, the protease inhibitor WFDC2, and CST3. Although CST3 (cystatin C) is often used as a biomarker of renal function, it is also expressed by conventional type 1 DCs (cDC1s), which may relate to its correlation with JDM skin disease activity [69]. A greater number of proteins correlated with skin disease activity in JDM than DM, 14 versus four, and JDM is more often associated with refractory cutaneous disease [59]. Candidate biomarkers CXCL9, GDF15, and vWF have previously been shown to correlate with global JDM activity [14, 70, 71], and WFDC2 and TNFSF13 have been associated with interstitial lung disease in DM [72, 73].

Several novel candidate disease activity biomarkers were identified. The validation of previously described disease activity biomarkers, such as GDF15 and vWF, strengthens the finding of these novel candidates [14, 70, 71]. The acute-phase reactant ORM1 correlated with DM and JDM global disease activity. MMP3 and KIT, a receptor tyrosine kinase involved in PI3K and MAPK signaling, correlated with DM global, muscle, and skin disease activity. A disintegrin and metalloprotease with thrombospondin motifs 8 (ADAMTS8), and MIF, a proinflammatory cytokine that regulates macrophage activity, correlated with DM global and muscle disease activity. The regulatory T-cell (Treg) marker neuropilin 1 (NRP1) negatively correlated with JDM global and muscle disease activity. Further studies are needed to determine whether these novel candidate biomarkers are seen in other myositis populations and how they change in relation to changes in disease activity.

The multiplex proteomic immunoassay was a strength of the present study. By measuring over 200 proteins concurrently, the platform validated transcriptional studies and identified novel differential regulation at the protein level. Further, it extended beyond singular biomarker identification and permitted the identification of functions, pathways, and cell types associated with the collective changes. The RBM platform demonstrated specific advantages over other proteomic assays. For example, nearly 30% of the proteins measured in this study showed significant dysregulation in DM or JDM, a higher discovery rate than previous protein array results [74, 75]. Among these discoveries were several proteins not measured by previous protein arrays: ADAMTS8, apolipoprotein A2 (APOA2), APOH, AXL receptor tyrosine kinase (AXL), CD40, C-type lectin domain family 3 member B (CLEC3B), COL4A4, FABP4, GC, ORM1, serine protease 8 (PRSS8; or prostasin), TNFSF13, transthyretin (TTR), and WFDC2. Thus, this immunoassay provided an effective, focused platform for assessing DM and JDM protein dysregulation.

Limitations of the study include its small sample size, with inclusion of only 17 patients each for DM and JDM. This did not allow for examination of variations among myositis autoantibody (MAA) groups. Given that different MAAs are associated with different clinical features, it is possible that the present findings are not generalizable to all MAA groups and that some MAA-specific results were not detected [76]. Future studies with larger cohorts should conduct MAA subgroup analyses. While this study examined muscle and skin disease activity, lung disease activity has also been associated with adult DM [77]. The limited number of patients with lung involvement in this study prevented analysis of the correlation between serum proteins and lung disease activity. Future research should address this topic. Further, samples were available from only one timepoint, preventing examination of changes in disease activity. Nevertheless, statistical associations were strong, and the inclusion of both DM and JDM patients allowed for direct comparison of the two groups. Additionally, study participants were receiving medications, which may have prevented the identification of additional important pathways and biomarkers. However, this study specifically targeted the pathways and functions that persist despite treatment and may represent important targets for therapeutic intervention in chronically active patients. Finally, only serum protein concentrations were assessed, which may not represent dysregulated pathways at the site of tissuespecific pathology [78]. However, previous studies have described a strong relationship between expression in circulating blood and muscle biopsies [79, 80].

Conclusions

In conclusion, the current study characterized similarities and differences in the pathophysiology of DM and JDM in the context of persistently active disease. The findings expand previous reports of the importance of IFN pathways, neutrophils, and mononuclear leukocytes in DM/ JDM disease activity and add evidence to the role of key upstream regulators, including PI3K, p38 MAPK, and TLR7. Further, a number of candidate protein biomarkers of disease activity were identified. The many differentially regulated proteins, pathways, and biomarkers that were uniquely identified in DM or JDM suggest differences in the pathophysiology of DM and JDM. These proteins, pathways, and biomarkers should be further studied to better understand their roles in DM and JDM pathogenesis, and to further inform the clinical assessment and potential treatment targets in refractory patients.

Abbreviations

ADAMTS8	A disintegrin and metalloprotease with thrombospondin
	motifs 8
ANGPTL4	Angiopoietin like 4
APO	Apolipoprotein

AXI	AXI Recentor tyrosine kinase
CCI	C-C motif chemokine ligand
CD40	Cluster of differentiation 40
CK	Creatine kinase
cDC1	Conventional type 1 dendritic cells
CLEC3B	C-type lectin domain family 3 member B
CMAS	Childhood Myositis Assessment Scale
COL4A4	Collagen type IV alpha 4 chain
CSTB	Cystatin B
CST3	Cystatin C
CXCL	C-X-C motif chemokine ligand
DAS	Disease Activity Score
DC	Dendritic cell
DHCR24	24-Dehydrocholesterol reductase
DM	Dermatomyositis
ERBB2	Erythroblastic oncogene B
EULAR-ACR	European Alliance of Associations for Rheumatology –
5400 /	American College of Rheumatology
FABP4	Fatty acid binding protein 4
GC	GC vitamin D binding protein
	Growth differentiation factor 15
	Intercellular adhesion malasula 1
ICAIVIT	Intercenular auriesion molecule i
IENG	Interferon-gamma
ll NG	Interleukin
IL 1RI 1	Interleykin like 1 recentor like 1
IL F3	Interleukin enhancer binding factor 3
IMACS	International Myositis Assessment and Clinical Studies
IVIa	Intravenous immunoglobulin
JDM	Juvenile dermatomyositis
KIT	Tyrosine-protein kinase KIT
LCN2	Lipocalin 2
LDH	Lactate dehydrogenase
LGALS3	Galectin 3
LTF	Lactoferrin
LXR/RXR	Liver X Receptor/Retinoic X Receptor
MAPK	Mitogen-activated protein kinase
MDAAT	Myositis Disease Activity Assessment Tool
mDC	Myeloid dendritic cell
MIF	Macrophage migration inhibitory factor
MMP3	Matrix metallopeptidase 3
MMT	Manual Muscle Testing
MPO	Myeloperoxidase
MSA	Myositis-specific autoantibody
	Nicotinamide phosphoribosyltransferase
	Nuclear factor kappa P
NF-KD	National Institutes of Health
	Non-POLL domain containing octamer binding protein
NRP1	Neuropilin 1
ORM1	Orosomucoid 1
PARK7	Parkinsonism associated deglycase
pDC	Plasmacytoid dendritic cell
PI3K	Phosphoinositide 3-kinase
PLAT	Plasminogen activator, tissue type
PLAUR	Urokinase receptor plasminogen activator
PPBP	Pro-platelet basic protein
PRSS8	Serine protease 8
RELA	RELA proto-oncogene NF-кB subunit
RETN	Resistin
RBM	Rules-Based Medicine
S100	S100 calcium binding protein
SUDI	Superoxide dismutase I
	Signal transducer and activator of transcription
TIR	Toll-like recentor
TNC	
TNE	Tumor pecrosis factor
TNESE	Tumor necrosis factor superfamily member
TNERSE	Tumor necrosis factor receptor superfamily member
Treg	Regulatory T-cell
2	- /

TTR	Transthyretin
VAS	Visual analog scale
vWF	Von Willebrand factor
WEDC2	WAP four-disulfide core domain 2

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.or g/10.1186/s13075-024-03421-7.

Supplementary Material 1: Additional File 1: Supplementary Table 1. Fold changes, clinical correlations, and descriptions of the 78 differentially regulated proteins in adult dermatomyositis (DM) and juvenile dermatomyositis (JDM).

Supplementary Material 2: Additional File 2: Supplementary Figure 1. Differentially regulated proteins shared in both adult and juvenile dermatomyositis (DM, JDM). The Venn diagram depicts the overlap between the differentially regulated proteins in the DM (n = 48) and JDM (n = 32) analyses. Adult controls were used in the DM analysis and pediatric controls were used in the JDM analysis. Twelve additional proteins (not shown) were identified in the combined DM and JDM analysis, which included: ADAMTS8, APOC1, ANGPT1, B2M, CHI3L1, IGFBP7, IL1RL1, IL1R2, IL6R, PPY, RETN, and SERPINA7. Abbreviations: DM, adult dermatomyositis; JDM, juvenile dermatomyositis. Additional File 2: Supplementary Figure 2. Protein-protein correlations in juvenile dermatomyositis (JDM) patients associated with neutrophil, monocyte, and dendritic cell expression. (A) JDM protein clustering of the 78 differentially expressed proteins. Correlations that met the thresholds of p <0.05 and |Spearman's rank correlation coefficient| > 0.4 were clustered. (B) The largest protein cluster, boxed in panel A, was further examined. (C) Relative expression levels of the clustered proteins in immune cells. Abbreviations: JDM, juvenile dermatomyositis; NA, not applicable. Additional File 2: Supplementary Figure 3. Protein-protein correlations in adult dermatomyositis (DM) and juvenile dermatomyositis (JDM) patients associated with interferons. (A) DM protein clustering of the 78 differentially expressed proteins. Correlations that met the thresholds of p <0.05 and |Spearman's rank correlation coefficient| > 0.4 were clustered. (B) The second largest DM protein cluster, boxed in panel A, was further examined. (C) JDM protein clustering of the 78 differentially expressed proteins. Correlations that met the thresholds were clustered. (D) The second largest JDM protein cluster, boxed in panel C, was further examined. Abbreviations: DM, adult dermatomyositis; JDM, juvenile dermatomyositis; NA, not applicable. Additional File 2: Supplementary Figure 4. Significant correlations between serum muscle enzyme levels and proteins from the Rules-based Medicine platform in patients with adult dermatomyositis (DM) and juvenile dermatomyositis (JDM). Serum levels of lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), aldolase, and creatine kinase were correlated with proteins from the Rules-Based Medicine (RBM) proteomics platform. Correlations that met the thresholds of p <0.05 and |Spearman's rank correlation coefficient| > 0.5 are displayed. Red indicates a positive correlation; blue indicates a negative correlation. (A) The left heatmap depicts correlations in patients with DM. (B) The right heatmap depicts correlations in patients with JDM. Proteins that were part of the 78 differentially expressed proteins are starred. RBM protein names are used in the heatmaps. Abbreviations: RBM, Rules-Based Medicine; DM, adult dermatomyositis; JDM, juvenile dermatomyositis; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase. Additional File 2: Supplementary Table 2. Top five canonical pathways associated with differentially expressed proteins in adult dermatomyositis (DM) and juvenile dermatomyositis (JDM). Pathways shared between DM and JDM are bolded. Shared proteins between DM and JDM in the same pathways are indicated in bold. Abbreviations: DM, adult dermatomyositis; JDM, juvenile dermatomyositis. Additional File 2: Supplementary Table 3. Top five molecular and cellular functions associated with differentially expressed proteins in adult dermatomyositis (DM) and juvenile dermatomyositis (JDM). Molecular and cellular functions shared between DM and JDM are bolded. Shared proteins between DM and JDM in the same molecular and cellular functions are indicated in bold. Abbreviations: DM, adult dermatomyositis; JDM, juvenile dermatomyositis. Additional File 2: Supplementary Table 4. Predicted upstream regulators of differentially expressed proteins in adult dermatomyositis (DM) and juvenile dermatomyositis (JDM). Upstream regulators shared between DM and JDM are bolded. Shared proteins between DM and JDM under the same upstream regulators are

indicated in bold. Abbreviations: DM, adult dermatomyositis; JDM, juvenile dermatomyositis.

Acknowledgements

We thank Dr. Hanna Kim for her critical reading of the manuscript, and Dr. Miguel Sanjuan for his leadership in the conceptualization and design of the project.

Author contributions

F.M., J.L., K.C., L.R., and M.S. conceptualized and designed the project. A.S., K.C., K.Z., L.R., M.S., P.N.F., and S.R. were involved in data acquisition. A.C.S., J.W., K.S., and L.R. analyzed and interpreted the data. A.C.S., J.W., K.S., and L.R. drafted the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This research was supported by the Intramural Research Programs of the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, National Institutes of Health (ZIAES101081 to the Environmental Autoimmunity Group and ZIC-ES103371 to the Integrative Bioinformatics Support Group), Federal funds from the National Institutes of Health, Department of Health and Human Services, under contract 75N95021D00012 and contract 75N95021D00012, and by MedImmune, now AstraZeneca.

Open access funding provided by the National Institutes of Health

Data availability

The dataset supporting the conclusions of this article is available in the dbGaP repository, [accession phs003270.v2.p1].

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

All patient data came from an institutional review board-approved studies at National Institutes of Health (NIH) (NCT00059748) and at MedImmune. Informed consent was obtained from all study participants.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

K.C., M.S., and S.R. are former employees and shareholders of AstraZeneca. A.S. is a shareholder of AstraZeneca stock, and his spouse is employed by AstraZenca. A.C.S., F.M., J.L., J.W., K.C., K.S., K.Z., L.R., M.S., P.N.F., and S.R. declare no conflicts of interest.

Author details

¹Environmental Autoimmunity Group, Clinical Research Branch, National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, National Institutes of Health, Building 10, CRC Rm 6-5700, MSC 1301 10 Center Drive, Bethesda, MD 20892-1301, USA

²Integrative Bioinformatics Support Group, National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, National Institutes of Health, Research Triangle Park, Durham, NC, USA ³BioPharmaceuticals R&D, AstraZeneca, Gaithersburg, MD, USA

Received: 9 May 2024 / Accepted: 21 October 2024

Published online: 11 November 2024

References

- Lundberg IE, Fujimoto M, Vencovsky J, Aggarwal R, Holmqvist M, Christopher-Stine L, et al. Idiopathic inflammatory myopathies. Nat Reviews Disease Primers. 2021;7(1):86.
- Tansley SL, McHugh NJ, Wedderburn LR. Adult and juvenile dermatomyositis: are the distinct clinical features explained by our current understanding of serological subgroups and pathogenic mechanisms? Arthritis Res Therapy. 2013;15(2):211.
- DeWane ME, Waldman R, Lu J, Dermatomyositis. Clinical features and pathogenesis. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2020;82(2):267–81.

- Neely J, Rychkov D, Paranjpe M, Waterfield M, Kim S, Sirota M. Gene expression Meta-analysis reveals concordance in Gene activation, pathway, and Cell-Type Enrichment in Dermatomyositis Target tissues. ACR Open Rheumatol. 2019;1(10):657–66.
- Stenzel W, Goebel H-H, Bader-Meunier B, Gitiaux C. Inflammatory myopathies in childhood. Neuromuscul Disord. 2021;31(10):1051–61.
- Pinal-Fernandez I, Casal-Dominguez M, Derfoul A, Pak K, Plotz P, Miller FW, et al. Identification of distinctive interferon gene signatures in different types of myositis. Neurology. 2019;93(12):e1193–204.
- Moneta GM, Pires Marafon D, Marasco E, Rosina S, Verardo M, Fiorillo C, et al. Muscle expression of type I and type II interferons is increased in Juvenile Dermatomyositis and related to clinical and histologic features. Arthritis Rheumatol. 2019;71(6):1011–21.
- Tabata MM, Hodgkinson LM, Wu TT, Li S, Huard C, Zhao S, et al. The type I Interferon signature reflects multiple phenotypic and activity measures in Dermatomyositis. Arthritis Rheumatol. 2023;75(10):1842–9.
- Seto N, Torres-Ruiz JJ, Carmona-Rivera C, Pinal-Fernandez I, Pak K, Purmalek MM, et al. Neutrophil dysregulation is pathogenic in idiopathic inflammatory myopathies. JCI Insight. 2020;5(3):e134189.
- Duvvuri B, Pachman LM, Morgan G, Khojah AM, Klein-Gitelman M, Curran ML, et al. Neutrophil Extracellular traps in tissue and periphery in Juvenile Dermatomyositis. Arthritis Rheumatol. 2020;72(2):348–58.
- Ward JM, Ambatipudi M, O'Hanlon TP, Smith MA, de Los Reyes M, Schiffenbauer A, et al. Shared and Distinctive Transcriptomic and Proteomic pathways in Adult and Juvenile Dermatomyositis. Arthritis Rheumatol. 2023;75(11):2014–26.
- Reed AM, Peterson E, Bilgic H, Ytterberg SR, Amin S, Hein MS, et al. Changes in novel biomarkers of disease activity in juvenile and adult dermatomyositis are sensitive biomarkers of disease course. Arthr Rhuem. 2012;64(12):4078–86.
- Pachman LM, Abbott K, Sinacore JM, Amoruso L, Dyer A, Lipton R, et al. Duration of illness is an important variable for untreated children with juvenile dermatomyositis. J Pediatr. 2006;148(2):247–53.
- 14. Wienke J, Pachman LM, Morgan GA, Yeo JG, Amoruso MC, Hans V, et al. Endothelial and inflammation biomarker profiles at diagnosis reflecting clinical heterogeneity and serving as a Prognostic Tool for Treatment Response in two independent cohorts of patients with Juvenile Dermatomyositis. Arthritis Rheumatol. 2020;72(7):1214–26.
- Lundberg IE, Tjärnlund A, Bottai M, Werth VP, Pilkington C, Visser M, et al. 2017 European League Against Rheumatism/American College of Rheumatology classification criteria for adult and juvenile idiopathic inflammatory myopathies and their major subgroups. Annals Rheumtic Dis. 2017;76(12):1955–64.
- Miller FW, Rider LG, Chung YL, Cooper R, Danko K, Farewell V, et al. Proposed preliminary core set measures for disease outcome assessment in adult and juvenile idiopathic inflammatory myopathies. Rheumatology. 2001;40(11):1262–73.
- 17. Ruperto N, Ravelli A, Pistorio A, Ferriani V, Calvo I, Ganser G, et al. The provisional Paediatric Rheumatology International Trials Organisation/American College of Rheumatology/european League against Rheumatism Disease activity core set for the evaluation of response to therapy in juvenile dermatomyositis: a prospective validation study. Arthritis Care Res. 2008;59(1):4–13.
- Yeker RM, Pinal-Fernandez I, Kishi T, Pak K, Targoff IN, Miller FW, et al. Anti-NT5C1A autoantibodies are associated with more severe disease in patients with juvenile myositis. Ann Rheum Dis. 2018;77(5):714.
- Sabbagh S, Pinal-Fernandez I, Kishi T, Targoff IN, Miller FW, Rider LG, Mammen AL. Anti-Ro52 autoantibodies are associated with interstitial lung disease and more severe disease in patients with juvenile myositis. Ann Rheum Dis. 2019;78(7):988.
- 20. Shah M, Mamyrova G, Targoff IN, Huber AM, Malley JD, Rice MM et al. The clinical phenotypes of the Juvenile Idiopathic Inflammatory myopathies. Medicine. 2013;92(1).
- Kishi T, Rider LG, Pak K, Barillas-Arias L, Henrickson M, McCarthy PL, et al. Association of Anti–3-Hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-coenzyme A reductase autoantibodies with DRB1*07:01 and severe myositis in Juvenile Myositis patients. Arthritis Care Res. 2017;69(7):1088–94.
- 22. Ritchie ME, Phipson B, Wu D, Hu Y, Law CW, Shi W, Smyth GK. Limma powers differential expression analyses for RNA-sequencing and microarray studies. Nucleic Acids Res. 2015;43(7):e47.
- Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y. Controlling the false Discovery rate: a practical and powerful Approach to multiple testing. J Roy Stat Soc: Ser B (Methodol). 1995;57(1):289–300.
- 24. Gu Z, Eils R, Schlesner M. Complex heatmaps reveal patterns and correlations in multidimensional genomic data. Bioinformatics. 2016;32(18):2847–9.

- Rusinova I, Forster S, Yu S, Kannan A, Masse M, Cumming H, et al. INTERFER-OME v2.0: an updated database of annotated interferon-regulated genes. Nucleic Acids Res. 2013;41(D1):D1040–6.
- 26. Krämer A, Green J, Pollard J Jr., Tugendreich S. Causal analysis approaches in Ingenuity Pathway Analysis. Bioinformatics. 2014;30(4):523–30.
- 27. Rider LG, Werth VP, Huber AM, Alexanderson H, Rao AP, Ruperto N, et al. Measures of adult and juvenile dermatomyositis, polymyositis, and inclusion body myositis: physician and Patient/Parent global activity, manual muscle testing (MMT), Health Assessment Questionnaire (HAQ)/Childhood Health Assessment Questionnaire (C-HAQ), Childhood Myositis Assessment Scale (CMAS), Myositis Disease Activity Assessment Tool (MDAAT), Disease Activity score (DAS), short form 36 (SF-36), Child Health Questionnaire (CHQ), physician global damage, myositis damage index (MDI), quantitative muscle testing (QMT), Myositis Functional Index-2 (FI-2), Myositis activities Profile (MAP), inclusion body myositis functional rating scale (IBMFRS), cutaneous Dermatomyositis Disease Area and Severity Index (CDASI), Skindex, and Dermatology Life Quality Index (DLQI). Volume 63. Arthritis Care & Research; 2011, pp. S118–57. 0 11.
- 28. Arshanapalli A, Shah M, Veerula V, Somani A-K. The role of type I interferons and other cytokines in dermatomyositis. Cytokine. 2015;73(2):319–25.
- Tawalbeh SM, Marin W, Morgan GA, Dang UJ, Hathout Y, Pachman LM. Serum protein biomarkers for juvenile dermatomyositis: a pilot study. BMC Rheumatol. 2020;4(1):52.
- Collison J. Serum biomarkers of disease activity in JDM. Nat Rev Rheumatol. 2019;15(5):250.
- Turnier JL, Pachman LM, Lowe L, Tsoi LC, Elhaj S, Menon R, et al. Comparison of Lesional Juvenile Myositis and Lupus skin reveals overlapping yet Unique Disease Pathophysiology. Arthritis Rheumatol. 2021;73(6):1062–72.
- Chen M, Quan C, Diao L, Xue F, Xue K, Wang B, et al. Measurement of cytokines and chemokines and association with clinical severity of dermatomyositis and clinically amyopathic dermatomyositis. Br J Dermatol. 2018;179(6):1334–41.
- De Paepe B, Verhamme F, De Bleecker JL. The myokine GDF-15 is a potential biomarker for myositis and associates with the protein aggregates of sporadic inclusion body myositis. Cytokine. 2020;127:154966.
- Komiya T, Negoro N, Kondo K, Miura K, Hirota Y, Yoshikawa J. Clinical significance of Von Willebrand factor in patients with adult dermatomyositis. Clin Rheumatol. 2005;24(4):352–7.
- Gibbs E, Khojah A, Morgan G, Ehwerhemuepha L, Pachman LM. The Von Willebrand Factor Antigen reflects the Juvenile Dermatomyositis Disease activity score. Biomedicines [Internet]. 2023; 11(2).
- Guzmán J, Petty RE, Malleson PN. Monitoring disease activity in juvenile dermatomyositis: the role of Von Willebrand factor and muscle enzymes. J Rhuematol. 1994;21(4):739–43.
- Lou Y, Zheng Y, Fan B, Zhang L, Zhu F, Wang X, et al. Serum S100A12 levels are correlated with clinical severity in patients with dermatomyositis-associated interstitial lung disease. J Int Med Res. 2019;48(4):0300060519887841.
- Frederick David W, Loro E, Liu L, Davila A, Chellappa K, Silverman Ian M, et al. Loss of NAD homeostasis leads to progressive and reversible degeneration of skeletal muscle. Cell Metabol. 2016;24(2):269–82.
- Ha Y-J, Hur J, Go DJ, Kang EH, Park JK, Lee EY, et al. Baseline peripheral blood neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio could predict survival in patients with adult polymyositis and dermatomyositis: a retrospective observational study. PLoS ONE. 2018;13(1):e0190411.
- Li L, Zuo X, Liu D, Luo H, Zhu H. The functional roles of RNAs Cargoes released by Neutrophil-Derived exosomes in Dermatomyositis. Front Pharmacol. 2021;12.
- Chen KL, Patel J, Zeidi M, Wysocka M, Bashir MM, Patel B, et al. Myeloid dendritic cells are Major Producers of IFN-β in Dermatomyositis and May Contribute to Hydroxychloroquine Refractoriness. J Invest Dermatology. 2021;141(8):1906–e142.
- Fitzgerald-Bocarsly P, Dai J, Singh S. Plasmacytoid dendritic cells and type I IFN: 50 years of convergent history. Cytokine Growth Factor Reviews. 2008;19(1):3–19.
- López de Padilla CM, Vallejo AN, McNallan KT, Vehe R, Smith SA, Dietz AB, et al. Plasmacytoid dendritic cells in inflamed muscle of patients with juvenile dermatomyositis. Arthr Rhuem. 2007;56(5):1658–68.
- 44. Shrestha S, Wershil B, Sarwark JF, Niewold TB, Philipp T, Pachman LM. Lesional and nonlesional skin from patients with untreated juvenile dermatomyositis displays increased numbers of mast cells and mature plasmacytoid dendritic cells. Arthr Rhuem. 2010;62(9):2813–22.

- Qian J, Xu H. COVID-19 Disease and Dermatomyositis: a Mini-review. Front Immunol. 2022;12:747116.
- Uzel G, Pachman LM. Cytokines in juvenile dermatomyositis pathophysiology: potential and challenge. Curr Opin Rheumatol. 2003;15(6):691–7.
- Chung MP, Richardson C, Kirakossian D, Orandi AB, Saketkoo LA, Rider LG, et al. Calcinosis biomarkers in adult and juvenile Dermatomyositis. Autoimmun rev. 2020;19(6):102533.
- Richardson C, Plaas A, Varga J. Calcinosis in systemic sclerosis: updates in pathophysiology, evaluation, and treatment. Curr Rheumatol Rep. 2020;22(10):73.
- Jiang W, Zhang Z, Li Y, Chen C, Yang H, Lin Q, et al. The cell origin and role of Osteoclastogenesis and Osteoblastogenesis in Vascular Calcification. Front Cardiovasc Med. 2021;8:639740.
- Urganus AL, Zhao Y-d, Pachman LM. Juvenile dermatomyositis calcifications selectively displayed markers of bone formation. Arthritis Care Res. 2009;61(4):501–8.
- Cappelletti C, Baggi F, Zolezzi F, Biancolini D, Beretta O, Severa M, et al. Type I interferon and toll-like receptor expression characterizes inflammatory myopathies. Neurology. 2011;76(24):2079–88.
- Tsoi LC, Gharaee-Kermani M, Berthier CC, Nault T, Hile GA, Estadt SN, et al. IL18-containing 5-gene signature distinguishes histologically identical dermatomyositis and lupus erythematosus skin lesions. JCI Insight. 2020;5(16):e139558.
- 53. Kim H. Updates on interferon in juvenile dermatomyositis: pathogenesis and therapy. Curr Opin Rheumatol. 2021;33(5):371–7.
- Aljabban J, Syed S, Syed S, Rohr M, Weisleder N, McElhanon KE, et al. Investigating genetic drivers of dermatomyositis pathogenesis using meta-analysis. Heliyon. 2020;6(9):e04866.
- Wang K, Zhang Z, Meng D, Li J. Investigating genetic drivers of juvenile dermatomyositis pathogenesis using bioinformatics methods. J Dermatol. 2021;48(7):1007–20.
- Gallardo E, de Andrés I, Illa I. Cathepsins are upregulated by IFN-γ/STAT1 in human muscle culture: a possible active factor in Dermatomyositis. J Neuropathology Experimental Neurol. 2001;60(9):847–55.
- Kim G-T, Cho M-L, Park Y-E, Yoo WH, Kim J-H, Oh H-J, et al. Expression of TLR2, TLR4, and TLR9 in dermatomyositis and polymyositis. Clin Rheumatol. 2010;29(3):273–9.
- Kuru S, Inukai A, Liang Y, Doyu M, Takano A, Sobue G. Tumor necrosis factor-α expression in muscles of polymyositis and dermatomyositis. Acta Neuropathol. 2000;99(5):585–8.
- Robinson AB, Reed AM. Clinical features, pathogenesis and treatment of juvenile and adult dermatomyositis. Nat Rev Rheumatol. 2011;7(11):664–75.
- 60. Yu D, Hung M-C. Overexpression of ErbB2 in cancer and ErbB2-targeting strategies. Oncogene. 2000;19(53):6115–21.
- Li D, Jia W, Zhou L, Hao Y, Wang K, Yang B, et al. Increased expression of the p-STAT3/IL-17 signaling pathway in patients with dermatomyositis. Mod Rheumatol. 2022;34(1):129–36.
- 62. De López CM, Cynthia SC, Molly SH, Richard SP, Michael AS, Timothy BN, et al. Gene expression profiling in blood and affected muscle tissues reveals Differential Activation pathways in patients with new-onset Juvenile and Adult Dermatomyositis. J Rhuematol. 2017;44(1):117.
- Hillmer EJ, Zhang H, Li HS, Watowich SS. STAT3 signaling in immunity. Cytokine Growth Factor Rev. 2016;31:1–15.
- Harris TJ, Grosso JF, Yen H-R, Xin H, Kortylewski M, Albesiano E, et al. Cutting Edge: an in vivo requirement for STAT3 signaling in TH17 Development and TH17-Dependent Autoimmunity1. J Immunol. 2007;179(7):4313–7.
- Wilkinson MGL, Radziszewska A, Wincup C, Ioannou Y, Isenberg DA, Manson JJ, Jury EC. Using peripheral blood immune signatures to stratify patients with adult and juvenile inflammatory myopathies. Rheumatology. 2020;59(1):194–204.
- Stingl C, Dvergsten JA, Eng SWM, Yeung RSM, Fritzler MJ, Mason T, et al. Gene expression profiles of treatment response and non-response in Children with Juvenile Dermatomyositis. ACR Open Rheumatol. 2022;4(8):671–81.
- 67. Yuan L, Yao L, Zhao L, Xia L, Shen H, Lu J. Serum levels of soluble ST2 and interleukin-33 in patients with dermatomyositis and polymyositis. Clin Exp Rheumatol. 2013;31(3):428–32.
- Opinc A, Sarnik J, Brzezińska O, Makowski M, Lewandowska-Polak A, Makowska J. Interleukin-33/suppression of tumorigenicity 2 (IL-33/ST2) axis in idiopathic inflammatory myopathies and its association with laboratory and clinical parameters: a pilot study. Rheumatol Int. 2020;40(7):1133–41.
- Alam J, Yazdanpanah G, Ratnapriya R, Borcherding N, de Paiva CS, Li D, Pflugfelder SC. Single-cell transcriptional profiling of murine conjunctival immune

cells reveals distinct populations expressing homeostatic and regulatory genes. Mucosal Immunol. 2022;15(4):620–8.

- Duvvuri B, Gonzalez-Chapa JA, Pachman LM, Morgan GA, Naik N, Shenoi S, Lood C. The emerging role of growth differentiation factor 15 as a potential disease biomarker in juvenile dermatomyositis. Rheumatology. 2023:kead654. https://doi.org/10.1093/rheumatology/kead654. Online ahead of print.
- Takayuki K, Jonathan C, Melvina E, Khanh N, Maryalice S-S, Margaret ER, et al. Endothelial activation markers as Disease activity and damage measures in Juvenile Dermatomyositis. J Rhuematol. 2020;47(7):1011.
- Kobayashi N, Kobayashi I, Mori M, Sato S, Iwata N, Shigemura T, et al. Increased serum B cell activating factor and a proliferation-inducing Ligand are Associated with interstitial lung disease in patients with Juvenile Dermatomyositis. J Rhuematol. 2015;42(12):2412–8.
- Sun F, Zhao J, Li Y, Wang H, Cao X, Cheng W, Chen J. Human epididymis protein 4 as a clinical biomarker in identifying interstitial lung disease in patients with idiopathic inflammatory myopathies. Int Immunopharmacol. 2023;115:109609.
- Tawalbeh SM, Marin W, Morgan GA, Dang UJ, Hathout Y, Pachman LM. Serum protein biomarkers for juvenile dermatomyositis: a pilot study. BMC Rheumatol. 2020;4:52.
- Sato H, Inoue Y, Kawashima Y, Konno R, Ohara O, Kuwana M, et al. In-depth proteomic analysis of juvenile dermatomyositis serum reveals protein expression associated with muscle-specific autoantibodies. Rheumatology. 2023;62(10):3501–6.

- Gunawardena H, Betteridge ZE, McHugh NJ. Myositis-specific autoantibodies: their clinical and pathogenic significance in disease expression. Rheumatology. 2009;48(6):607–12.
- 77. Marie I, Hachulla E, Chérin P, Dominique S, Hatron PY, Hellot MF, et al. Interstitial lung disease in polymyositis and dermatomyositis. Arthritis Care Res. 2002;47(6):614–22.
- Weisberg SP, Ural BB, Farber DL. Tissue-specific immunity for a changing world. Cell. 2021;184(6):1517–29.
- Baechler EC, Bauer JW, Slattery CA, Ortmann WA, Espe KJ, Novitzke J, et al. An Interferon Signature in the Peripheral blood of Dermatomyositis patients is Associated with Disease Activity. Mol Med. 2007;13(1):59–68.
- Roberson EDO, Mesa RA, Morgan GA, Cao L, Marin W, Pachman LM. Transcriptomes of peripheral blood mononuclear cells from juvenile dermatomyositis patients show elevated inflammation even when clinically inactive. Sci Rep. 2022;12(1):275.

Publisher's note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.