RESEARCH

Divergent gene signatures and neutrophil enrichment in lymph nodes of inflammatory arthritis patients

Aoife M. O'Byrne^{1,2,3,4†}, Janne W. Bolt^{1,2,3,4†}, Chaja M.J. van Ansenwoude^{1,2,3,4}, Martijn van der Heijde^{1,2,3,4}, Johanna F. Semmelink^{1,2,3,4}, Aldo Jongejan^{2,5,6}, Perry D. Moerland^{2,5,6}, Mario Maas⁷, Marleen G.H. van de Sande^{1,2,3,4†} and Lisa G.M. van Baarsen^{1,2,3,4*†}

Abstract

Background Lymph node (LN) studies in anti-cyclic citrullinated protein antibodies (ACPA) positive rheumatoid arthritis (RA) patients have revealed notable alterations in adaptive immune cell populations. However, it remains unclear whether similar changes occur in seronegative inflammatory arthritis, such as psoriatic arthritis (PsA) or ACPA-negative RA. This study investigates molecular and cellular alterations in LN biopsies from ACPA-positive RA patients, ACPA-negative inflammatory arthritis (IA) patients, and healthy controls (HCs).

Methods Ultrasound-guided LN biopsies were collected from 25 HCs, 14 ACPA positive RA patients and 45 ACPA negative IA patients (including various IA subtypes). Whole LN tissue biopsies were analyzed by transcriptome analyses, quantitative PCR and immunohistochemistry.

Results Distinct LN gene expression profiles were identified in ACPA-positive RA and ACPA-negative IA patients compared to HCs. ACPA-positive RA patients exhibited upregulation of genes associated with adaptive immunity, while ACPA-negative IA patients showed higher expression of genes related to innate immune cell function. Subsequent qPCR analysis confirmed increased mRNA expression of Cathepsin G, a serine protease highly expressed by neutrophils, in ACPA negative IA patients. Immunohistochemistry demonstrated significantly elevated CD15 + neutrophil presence in LNs from IA patients compared to HCs, irrespective of ACPA status and diagnosis (RA or PsA).

Conclusions This study provides novel insights into the immune landscape of lymph nodes in inflammatory arthritis, emphasizing an unexpected role for neutrophils in IA patients. Future research should explore the functional implications of neutrophils within these uninfected lymph nodes to better understand their contribution to the pathogenesis of inflammatory arthritis.

[†]Aoife M. O'Byrne and Janne W. Bolt contributed equally to this work.

[†]Marleen G.H. van de Sande and Lisa G.M. van Baarsen share last authorship.

*Correspondence: Lisa G.M. van Baarsen e.g.vanbaarsen@amsterdamumc.nl

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2025. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.





Keywords Inflammatory arthritis, Neutrophils, Lymph nodes, Pathogenesis

Background

Inflammatory arthritis (IA) encompasses a number of immune mediated inflammatory disorders, which manifest in the synovial joints where immune cells infiltrate and drive a chronic inflammatory environment. If left untreated this leads to pannus formation and bone destruction significantly affecting patients' quality of life. IA can be further characterized based on symptoms, the main joints involved and the presence of autoantibodies. Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is a subtype of IA, which preferentially affects peripheral small joints and in most cases includes the presence of anti-cyclic citrullinated protein antibodies (ACPA) [1, 2]. These autoantibodies can be detected years before diagnosis and indicate a break of immune tolerance that precedes clinical onset [2, 3]. Secondary lymphoid organs such as lymph nodes (LN) maintain peripheral tolerance and prevent autoreactive immune cells from initiating systemic autoimmunity. In the past decade our research group has set up the infrastructure to study LN tissue biopsies of early RA patients and those at risk of developing RA (RA-risk) [4-10]. These studies showed significant alterations in cells involved in adaptive immunity in LN biopsies of RA patients and RA-risk individuals compared to healthy controls (HCs), which did not differ based on ACPA positivity or arthritis location [11, 12]. Initial cellular phenotyping revealed that RA and (a subset of) RA-risk individuals have increased frequencies of CXCR3+CCR6-CCR4-Th1 cells [3], ILC1 (c-Kit-NKp44-ILCs) [12], memory CD8+T cells [11], CD69+CD8+T cells, follicular helper T cells [13], and CD19+B cells compared to HCs [14]. Additionally, we have shown that the frequency of myeloid and plasmacytoid dendritic cells were increased in LN biopsies of RA patients compared to HCs and RA-risk individuals [15]. This data highlights the additive value of LN tissue analysis in elucidating the immune responses involved in disease pathogenesis. As it has been suggested that the pathogenesis of ACPA negative RA differs from ACPA positive RA [16-18] and that immune cell involvement is linked to autoantibody status [19, 20] we aimed to investigate whether the molecular and cellular landscape in lymphoid organs differs between ACPA positive RA patients and ACPA negative IA patients. Accordingly, this study employs gene profiling in whole tissue biopsies of ACPA negative IA patients compared to ACPA positive RA patients and HCs in an effort to highlight factors or cell populations that may contribute to altered disease pathogenesis between these different forms of IA.

Methods

Study cohort and LN biopsy sampling

Patients were recruited at our outpatient-clinic and included in a single-center cross-sectional study. We included 14 ACPA positive RA patients, 45 ACPA negative IA patients and 25 HCs who underwent ultrasoundguided inguinal LN core needle biopsy sampling as previously described [9]. ACPA negative IA patients consisted of patients with a diagnosis following disease classification criteria of RA [21] (23 patients), PsA [22] (12 patients), systemic lupus erythematosus [23] (1 patient), or a clinical diagnosis of crystal arthropathy (2 patients) or undifferentiated arthritis (UA) (7 patients). Patients did not take biological disease modifying anti-rheumatic drugs (bDMARDs) in the 3 months prior to LN sampling however co-medication including NSAIDs, corticosteroids, and conventional DMARDs were permitted. HCs did not have rheumatic disease and were negative for ACPA and rheumatoid factor (RF). A demographics table for all individuals included in this study can be found in Table 1. In the supplementary material we provide demographics tables stratified by analysis method. All patients provided written informed consent prior to enrolment and the study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Amsterdam Medical Center, Amsterdam, the Netherlands.

Genome-wide transcriptome analyses of whole LN tissue biopsies

Fresh biopsies were immediately snap frozen and stored in liquid nitrogen until processing. Total RNA was extracted from whole LN tissue biopsies using the All-Prep DNA/RNA Mini kit from Qiagen (Venlo, the Netherlands). First, LN tissue was quickly homogenized on ice in RLT-plus buffer containing 1% β-mercaptoethanol and 0.05% Qiagen DX reagent using an IKA T10 basic homogenizer (S 10 N 5-G probe; 3,304,000, Cole-Parmer, USA). The homogenized LN tissue suspension was transferred to a clean RNase-free tube and centrifuged to remove cell debris. Using the cleared cell lysate further RNA extraction was performed according to the manufacturer's instructions including an on-column DNase digestion using the RNase-Free DNase Set (Qiagen). RNA purity and quantity was measured using the Nanodrop (Nanodrop Technologies, Wilmington, USA) with ND1000 V3.8.1 software (ND1000, Isogen Life Science, Utrecht, the Netherlands). Isolated RNA was stored at - 80° Celsius until further use.

Subsequent quality control, RNA labeling, hybridization and data extraction were outsourced at ServiceXS B.V. (Leiden, The Netherlands). The RNA quality and

Total cohort							
Clinical parameter	HC	ACPA- RA	PsA	UA	CA	SLE	ACPA + RA
	n=25	n=23	n=12	n = 7	n=2	n = 1	n=14
Age, years (median (IQR))	33 (23-54.5)	62 (48–69)	46 (32.25–56.50)	49 (26–63)	60 (59–60)	38	56 (40.75-60.0)
Gender (male/female)	9/16	7/16	6/6	2/5	2/0	0/1	6/8
Disease duration, months (median (IQR))	-	3 (1–36)	12 (1–21)	1 (0-1)	1 (1-1)	0	5 (0–21)
DAS-28 (median (IQR))	-	3.78 (2.63–4.75)	4.015	4.06 (2.74–5.10)	2.7 (2.02–3.38)	6.2	4.020 (2.713–4.573)
Tender joint count 68 (median (IQR)	-	3 (1.0–17.0)	6.5 (2.25–17.75)	8 (3–17)	1.5 (1.0–2.0)	4	5.0 (0.75–11.25)
Swollen joint count 68 (median (IQR)	-	2 (0.0–5.0)	2.5 (1.25–5.75)	4 (2–5)	1 (1.0–1.0)	4	5.0 (1.5–9.25)
lgM RF positivity (yes/no)	-	7/16	1/11	2/5	0/2	0/1	11/3
CRP (mg/dl) (median (IQR))	0.5 (0.30–2.25)	3.55 (1.05–7.35)	4.95 (1.55–14.48)	2.9 (1.7–3.8)	1.9 (1.6–2.2)	9.0	4.6 (1.50–17.50)
sDMARD use (yes/no)	0/7	7/16	4/12	0/7	0/2	0/1	4/10
Prednisone use (yes/no)	0/7	1/22	0/7	0/7	0/2	0/1	0/14

Table 1 Patient demographics for individuals of study cohort

Categorical variables: (yes/no). Continuous variables (data not normally distributed): median (IQR). HC; healthy controls, UA; undifferentiated arthritis, CA; crystal arthropathy, PsA; psoriatic arthritis, SLE; systemic lupus erythematosus, ACPA; anti-cyclic citrullinated protein antibodies, RA; rheumatoid arthritis, DAS; disease activity score, RF; rheumatoid factor, ESR; erythrocyte sedimentation rate, CRP; C-reactive protein, sDMARD; synthetic disease modifying anti-rheumatic drug

integrity was determined using Lab-on-Chip analysis on the Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, Inc., Santa Clara, CA, U.S.A.) and the Shimadzu MultiNA RNA analysis chips (Shimadzu Corporation, Kyoto, Japan). Biotinylated cRNA was prepared using the Illumina TotalPrep RNA Amplification Kit (Ambion, Inc., Austin, TX, U.S.A.) according to the manufacturer's specifications with an input of 200 ng total RNA. Per sample, 750 ng of the obtained biotinylated cRNA samples was hybridized onto the Illumina HumanHT-12 v4 (Illumina, Inc., San Diego, CA, U.S.A.). Each BeadChip contained twelve arrays. Samples were assigned to the different BeadChips, such that the disease categories were equally divided over the BeadChips. Hybridization and washing were performed according to the Illumina Manual "Direct Hybridization Assay Guide". Scanning was performed on the Illumina iScan (Illumina, Inc., San Diego, CA, U.S.A.). Image analysis and extraction of raw expression data was performed with Illumina GenomeStudio v2011.1 Gene Expression software with default settings (no background subtraction and no normalization).

Analyses were carried out with Bioconductor (version 3.1) packages using the statistical software package R (version 3.3.1). Raw data normalization was performed on the Illumina sample and control probe profiles by normexp-by-control background correction, quantile normalization, and log2 transformation using the limma package (version 3.28.21). The arrayQualityMetrics package (version 3.28.2) was used to assess that the micro-array data was of good quality. Probes with a detection P-value > 0.05 (non-expressed) on all arrays (3,478 of 47,323 probes) were filtered out. Differential expression between the experimental conditions was assessed with a moderated t-test using the linear model framework

from the limma package including the consensus withinindividual correlation (function 'duplicateCorrelation'), correcting for an observed batch effect between the first four and the last four BeadChips, age (categorized as young (<39 years), old (>53 years), or intermediate) and sex of the subjects. Probes were reannotated using the IlluminaHumanv4.db package (version 1.26.0). Gene set enrichment analysis was performed using CAMERA [24] with a value of 0.01 for the inter-gene correlation, using selected genesets collections (Hallmark collection and the BioCarta, KEGG and Reactome subsets of the C2 collection) from the Molecular Signature Database (MSigDB, version 6.0). P-values were calculated using a two-sided directional test (direction of change, 'up' or 'down') and corrected for multiple testing using the Benjamini-Hochberg false discovery rate.

Quantitative PCR

cDNA synthesis (samples in Supplementary Table 2) was performed using Revert Aid H Minus First Strand cDNA synthesis kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, Massachusetts, USA) according to manufacturer's instructions. qPCR analysis was performed using the TaqMan Universal PCR Master Mix with Taqman assays for CTSG (Hs00175195) and TPSAB1 (Hs07291744) (all Applied Biosystems, Life Technologies, Zwijndrecht, The Netherlands). 18 S RNA (Hs99999901_s1) was used as reference gene and all runs included an arbitrary calibrator sample to correct for inter plate variations. The input consisted of 500 ng cDNA with a total reaction volume of 10 μ L. Experiments were run on QuantStudio 3 Real-Time PCR System and analysed using QuantStudio v.1.4.3. (both from Applied Biosystems, Life Technologies, Zwijndrecht, The Netherlands) following the manufacturer's

instructions. The relative gene expression was determined using the delta-delta CT method.

Immunohistochemistry

Core needle biopsies were snap frozen in Tissue-Tek OCT (Sakura) after which 5µm thick sections were cut using a CM1950 cryostat (Leica Microsystems). LN tissue sections were thawed at room temperature followed by fixation with acetone ACS absolute (1000142511, Merck) pure. Sections were washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and incubated with endogenous peroxidase block (0.1% NaN3 in PBS+0.35% H₂O₂) to reduce nonspecific background staining. The sections were then washed with PBS and incubated overnight at 4°C with primary antibody in PBS with 1% bovine serum albumin (BSA). The following primary antibodies were used: CD15 (IgM, clone HI98, eBioscience, 0.42 µg/ml) or isotype matched antibody as negative control. After washing with PBS the sections were incubated at room temperature with corresponding secondary antibody: streptavidin/ HRP labelled donkey IgM, (6.25 µg/ml, DAKO) in PBS containing 10% human serum and 1% BSA (1%). Sections were then washed with PBS and incubated with 3-amino-9-ethylcarbazole (AEC) substrate kit for peroxidase activity (Vector Laboratories) according to manufacturer's protocol. Sections were washed with demineralised water and counterstained with haematoxylin (Merck). Next, sections were washed with cold tap water and air dried before coverslips were mounted with Kaiser's glycerine. Imaging was performed on a DMLB+DFC420 light microscope (Leica Microsystems). Stained tissue sections were evaluated by semi-quantitative scoring by three blinded independent observers using a 4-point scale with 0 indicating no presence of staining.

Statistical analysis

Statistical testing was performed using GraphPad Prism v.8.0.1. Numerical differences between study groups were analysed using Mann-Whitney U or the Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's post hoc test, where appropriate. Correlation coefficients were examined using the Spearman's correlation. P-values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

Differential gene signatures in LNs of patients with ACPA negative inflammatory arthritis and ACPA positive RA patients compared with healthy controls

To identify molecular changes in the LN of IA patients we performed an exploratory genome-wide transcriptional profiling study using whole tissue biopsies. We stratified IA patients based on their ACPA status and compared distinct gene expression profiles in ACPA negative IA patients and ACPA positive RA to HCs independently.

Differential expression analysis of ACPA positive RA compared to HCs resulted in 336 differentially expressed (absolute log2-foldchange > 0.5 and p-value < 0.05) genes. This distinct gene expression profile involved genes linked to adaptive immune responses (Fig. 1A, Supplementary Table 5) including CD38, a cyclic ADP ribose hydrolase glycoprotein, found on the surface of activated B and T cells. Genes associated with lymphocyte function were also differentially expressed such as Immuno-globulin Kappa Constant (IGKC) and Marginal Zone B1



Fig. 1 Gene expression profiling of LN biopsies from IA patients and healthy individuals Volcano plots depicting differentially expressed genes of ACPA + RA (\mathbf{A}) and ACPA - IA (\mathbf{B}) compared to HCs. Cut of values of p = 0.05 and log2-foldchange = 0.5 are represented by red lines. Healthy control (HC), anti-cyclic citrullinated protein antibodies (ACPA), rheumatoid arthritis (RA), inflammatory arthritis (IA)

(MZB1) which are involved in immunoglobulin formation, early T cell signalling molecules, Secreted Phosphoprotein 1 (SPP1) and Janus kinase 2 (JAK2) as well as T cell chemokine ligands CCL20 and CCL4. These observations within ACPA positive RA LNs aligned with our earlier phenotyping data^{4,12,15} which point towards an overall increased adaptive immune cell gene signature in ACPA positive RA compared to HCs. Geneset enrichment analysis (GSEA), focusing on HALLMARK, BIO-CARTA, KEGG and REACTOME pathways revealed increased expression of genes linked to cell cycle and DNA replication reflecting a more activated LN environment in ACPA positive RA patients compared to HCs (Table 2).

Differential expression analysis of ACPA negative IA patients compared to HCs resulted in 69 differentially (absolute log2-foldchange>0.5 and p-value<0.05) expressed genes. These genes highlighted differences in innate immune responses (Fig. 1B, Supplementary Table 6). Expression of genes encoding, enzymes, tryptase alpha beta 1 (TPSAB1) and carboxypeptidase A3 (CPA3), involved in mast cell degranulation were increased in ACPA negative IA patients compared to HCs. Additionally, Cathepsin G (CTSG), a neutrophil specific serine protease, and CXCL2, a major chemoattractant for neutrophils, were also differentially expressed. Differential expression analysis was also performed on ACPA negative RA and PsA patients compared to HCs (Supplementary Fig. 1 /Table 7) to ascertain whether heterogeneity within the ACPA- IA group was masking significant DEGs. However this analysis did not highlight additional DEGs and resulted in a reduced power likely due to the reduction in the sample size. Subsequent GSEA of ACPA negative IA patients compared to HCs showed decreased expression of gene sets associated with mitochondrial function and the Krebs cycle. This GSEA analysis (Table 3) also highlighted an increased expression of genes related to lysosomal activity, including a number of lysosomal genes expressed in neutrophil granules. Additional to CTSG, Cathepsin C, Cathepsin L1 and cystinosin were all upregulated within the KEGG lysosomal pathway and have been associated with lysosomal activity in neutrophils. We also observed an upregulation of a latent tuberculosis infection pathway which included a

Table 2 Top 25 differentially expressed genesets in ACPA + RA vs. HCs

GeneSet	Number of Genes in GeneSet	Direction	P Value	False Discovery Rate
H_HALLMARK_E2F_TARGETS	190	Up	< 0.0001	< 0.0001
H_HALLMARK_G2M_CHECKPOINT	194	Up	< 0.0001	< 0.0001
C2_REACTOME_DNA_STRAND_ELONGATION	30	Up	< 0.0001	< 0.0001
C2_REACTOME_G2_M_CHECKPOINTS	41	Up	< 0.0001	0.0001
C2_REACTOME_G1_S_SPECIFIC_TRANSCRIPTION	16	Up	< 0.0001	0.0001
C2_REACTOME_DNA_REPLICATION	177	Up	< 0.0001	0.0001
C2_REACTOME_G1_S_TRANSITION	103	Up	< 0.0001	0.0003
C2_REACTOME_UNWINDING_OF_DNA	11	Up	< 0.0001	0.0003
C2_REACTOME_MITOTIC_G1_G1_S_PHASES	127	Up	< 0.0001	0.0003
C2_REACTOME_SYNTHESIS_OF_DNA	87	Up	< 0.0001	0.0003
C2_REACTOME_S_PHASE	103	Up	< 0.0001	0.0003
C2_REACTOME_MITOTIC_M_M_G1_PHASES	158	Up	< 0.0001	0.0004
C2_KEGG_DNA_REPLICATION	36	Up	< 0.0001	0.0005
H_HALLMARK_MYC_TARGETS_V1	194	Up	< 0.0001	0.0005
C2_REACTOME_ASPARAGINE_N_LINKED_	75	Up	< 0.0001	0.0006
GLYCOSYLATION				
C2_REACTOME_ACTIVATION_OF_ATR_IN_RESPONSE_TO_ REPLICATION_ STRESS	35	Up	< 0.0001	0.0006
H_HALLMARK_MTORC1_SIGNALING	190	Up	< 0.0001	0.0006
C2_REACTOME_E2F_MEDIATED_REGULATION_OF_DNA_REPLICATION	32	Up	< 0.0001	0.0009
C2_KEGG_N_GLYCAN_BIOSYNTHESIS	43	Up	< 0.0001	0.0009
C2_REACTOME_CELL_CYCLE_CHECKPOINTS	107	Up	< 0.0001	0.0009
C2_REACTOME_CELL_CYCLE_MITOTIC	294	Up	< 0.0001	0.0011
C2_REACTOME_M_G1_TRANSITION	76	Up	< 0.0001	0.0011
C2_REACTOME_ACTIVATION_OF_THE_PRE_REPLICATIVE_COMPLEX	29	Up	< 0.0001	0.0027
C2_REACTOME_CELL_CYCLE	373	Up	< 0.0001	0.0028
C2_REACTOME_REPAIR_SYNTHESIS_FOR_GAP_FILLING_BY_ DNA_POI_IN_TC_NER	14	Up	< 0.0001	0.0034

Table outlining the top 25 differentially expressed genesets in ACPA+rheumatoid arthritis compared to healthy controls following geneset enrichment analysis (GSEA), focusing on HALLMARK, BIOCARTA, KEGG and REACTOME genesets

Table 3 Top 25 differentially expressed genesets in ACPA- IA vs. HCs

GeneSet	Number of Genes in GeneSet	Direction	P Value	False Dis- covery
	(1	David	.0.0001	Rate
C2_REACTOME_RESPIRATORY_ELECTRON_TRANSPORT	61	Down	< 0.0001	< 0.0001
C2_KEGG_LYSOSOME	110	Up	< 0.0001	< 0.0001
C2_REACTOME_RESPIRATORY_ELECTRON_TRANSPORT_ATP SYNTHESIS_BY CHEMIOSMOTIC_COUPLING_AND_HEAT_PRODUCTION_BY_ UNCOUPLING_PROTEINS_	77	Down	< 0.0001	< 0.0001
C2_REACTOME_FORMATION_OF_THE_TERNARY_COMPLEX_AND_SUBSEQUENTLY THE_43S_COMPLEX	47	Down	< 0.0001	0.0004
C2_REACTOME_TCA_CYCLE_AND_RESPIRATORY_ELECTRON_TRANSPORT	111	Down	< 0.0001	0.0015
C2_REACTOME_INFLUENZA_VIRAL_RNA_TRANSCRIPTION_ AND_REPLICATION	97	Down	< 0.0001	0.0020
C2_REACTOME_3_UTR_MEDIATED_TRANSLATIONAL_ REGULATION	104	Down	< 0.0001	0.0021
C2_KEGG_RIBOSOME	87	Down	< 0.0001	0.0025
C2_REACTOME_ACTIVATION_OF_THE_MRNA_UPON_BINDING_OF_THE_CAP_BINDING_COM- PLEX_AND_EIFS_AND_ SUBSEQUENT_BINDING_TO_43S	55	Down	< 0.0001	0.0025
C2 REACTOME PEPTIDE CHAIN ELONGATION	85	Down	< 0.0001	0.0028
C2_KEGG_PARKINSONS_DISEASE	103	Down	< 0.0001	0.0033
C2_KEGG_OXIDATIVE_PHOSPHORYLATION	109	Down	< 0.0001	0.0090
C2_REACTOME_ASPARAGINE_N_LINKED_GLYCOSYLATION	75	Up	0.0001	0.0101
H_HALLMARK_OXIDATIVE_PHOSPHORYLATION	191	Down	0.0001	0.0101
C2_REACTOME_INFLUENZA_LIFE_CYCLE	130	Down	0.0002	0.0118
C2_REACTOME_N_GLYCAN_TRIMMING_IN_THE_ER_AND_ CALNEXIN_CALRETICULIN CYCLE	12	Up	0.0003	0.0218
C2_KEGG_HUNTINGTONS_DISEASE	157	Down	0.0006	0.0370
C2_REACTOME_NONSENSE_MEDIATED_DECAY_ENHANCED_ BY_THE_EXON_JUNCTION_COMPLEX	106	Down	0.0006	0.0370
C2_KEGG_INTESTINAL_IMMUNE_NETWORK_FOR_IGA_ PRODUCTION	43	Up	0.0015	0.0878
C2_REACTOME_LATENT_INFECTION_OF_HOMO_SAPIENS_ WITH_MYCOBACTERIUM_TUBERCULOSIS	28	Up	0.0016	0.0878
C2_REACTOME_METABOLISM_OF_MRNA	208	Down	0.0019	0.0900
C2_REACTOME_TRIGLYCERIDE_BIOSYNTHESIS	38	Down	0.0019	0.0900
C2_KEGG_LEISHMANIA_INFECTION	60	Up	0.0019	0.0900
C2_KEGG_N_GLYCAN_BIOSYNTHESIS	43	Up	0.0019	0.0900
C2_KEGG_ALZHEIMERS_DISEASE	147	Down	0.0022	0.1010

Table outlining the top 25 differentially expressed genesets in ACPA- inflammatory arthritis compared to healthy controls following geneset enrichment analysis (GSEA), focusing on HALLMARK, BIOCARTA, KEGG and REACTOME genesets

number of genes involved in microbial defence mechanisms in neutrophils. Neutrophil cytosolic factor components (NCF2, NCF4) and cytochrome B components (CYBA. CYBB) associated with phagocytic activity, lactoferin associated with secondary neutrophil granules and cathelicidin antimicrobial peptide were all upregulated within this pathway. Accordingly, this GSEA demonstrates the enrichment of a number of pathways pointing towards the presence of neutrophils within the LN of ACPA negative IA patients.

These distinctive differences in gene expression profiles observed in uninfected LNs in the absence of ACPA fuelled our interest to further explore this innate immune cell signature. Accordingly, we set out to investigate the presence of mast cells and neutrophils in the LN tissue biopsies.

Increased cathepsin G mRNA expression in lymph nodes of ACPA negative PsA patients

We next aimed to validate the upregulation of CTSG and TPSAB1 by qPCR (Fig. 2A-B). CTSG mRNA expression was significantly increased in LNs of ACPA negative IA patients compared to HC (p = 0.01, Fig. 2A). TPSAB1 mRNA levels were also higher but did not reach statistical significance (p = 0.06, Fig. 2B). Furthermore, qPCR measurements of TPSAB1 showed extremely low mRNA



Fig. 2 Increased CTSG mRNA levels in LN biopsies of PsA patients Graphs confirming increased mRNA expression levels by qPCR of CTSG (**A**) and TPSAB1 (**B**) in HC (n=10) and ACPA- IA (n=13) samples from the gene expression profiling cohort. CTSG mRNA expression levels (**C**) in HC (n=25), ACPA- RA (n=19), PsA (n=6) and ACPA- UA (n=6) in the validation cohort. All graphs represent median with interquartile range with Mann-Whitney U tests (**A**-**B**) and Kruskal Wallis test followed by Dunn's post hoc test (**C**) to determine statistical significance. Cathepsin G (CTSG), relative quantification (RQ), healthy control (HC), inflammatory arthritis (IA), tryptase alpha/beta 1 (TPSAB1), anti-cyclic citrullinated protein antibodies (ACPA), rheumatoid arthritis (RA), pso-riatic arthritis (PsA) and undifferentiated arthritis (UA)

levels in the LN biopsies; reflected by a mean Ct value of 35. In line with this, immunohistochemistry for mast cell tryptase (MCT) showed no presence in almost all LN tissue sections (data not shown), hence the role of mast cells was not explored further.

We next investigated the increased CTSG mRNA expression in a separate larger cohort (Supplementary Table 3) of patients to examine any differences between ACPA negative IA subtypes (ACPA negative RA, PsA and UA). CTSG mRNA expression was significantly increased in PsA LNs compared to HCs (p = 0.01, Fig. 2C), while no statistically significant differences were observed between HCs and other ACPA negative IA subtypes.

Higher frequency of CD15 + neutrophils in LN biopsies of patients with inflammatory arthritis

As CTSG mRNA is highly expressed by neutrophils, we next performed immunohistochemistry on an additional cohort of IA patients (Supplementary Table 4) to study the presence of neutrophils in our LN biopsies. Neutrophils were almost absent in LN tissue sections from HCs (Fig. 3A), in contrast to a clear influx of CD15 + cellsobserved in LN tissue sections of patients with IA (Fig. 3B). Semi-quantitative analyses showed a high interindividual variability in neutrophil presence across all patients (Fig. 3C). Elevated levels of CD15 + neutrophils were observed in LNs of IA patients compared to HCs which reached statistical significance for ACPA positive RA and PsA patients (p = 0.004 and p = 0.02, respectively). Across all patient groups there was a tendency towards a split between high and low neutrophil counts which could not be related to any clinical characteristics, age or sex.

Discussion

This explorative study is, to our knowledge, the first to examine alterations in LNs of both ACPA positive RA and ACPA negative IA in parallel. Gene expression profiling uncovered key molecular signatures that differed between IA patients based on ACPA status. In ACPA positive RA, we found differentially expressed genes that were predominantly linked to adaptive immunity suggesting an activated LN environment. These results are in line with our previous work exploring LN alterations in RA patients [11–15]. The innate gene signature identified in this study reveals novel insights into the LN environment of patients with IA. The upregulation of gene sets involved in latent microbial infection and lysosomal activity further support an innate immune signature within the LN of ACPA negative IA patients. Altogether, our data highlights an increased influx of neutrophils in LNs of patients with IA irrespective of ACPA status and IA subtype (RA or PsA). The possible explanation for the increased presence of neutrophils in LNs of IA patients is currently unknown as neutrophils are thought to only be present in LNs during infection.

Neutrophils are known to circulate through the blood and lymphatics patrolling for any signs of infection and are the first immune cells present at an injury site. Ample is known about their role at peripheral tissue sites such as the skin where they swarm upon injury and, in the case of an infection, traffic to the draining LN to perpetuate an immune response [25]. Nevertheless knowledge of their function during homeostasis in peripheral tissue and LNs is sparse. Neutrophils were originally thought to be absent or at non-detectable levels in the LN; however, a recent study of healthy human uninfected inguinal



С



Fig. 3 Elevated presence of neutrophils in LN biopsies of patients with inflammatory arthritis. Representative microscopy images showing CD15 staining (dark red) in a LN biopsy section from a HC (A) and PsA (B). Semi-quantitative score for CD15 abundance (C) detected by immunohistochemistry staining in LN tissue sections of HC (n=9), ACPA- RA (n=9), ACPA + RA (n=9) and PsA (n=9). Microscopy images were taken at a 200x magnification. The graph shows median with interguartile range, Kruskal-Wallis test and Dunn's post hoc test performed to determine statistical significance. Healthy control (HC), anti-cyclic citrullinated protein antibodies (ACPA), rheumatoid arthritis (RA) and psoriatic arthritis (PsA)

LNs show similar levels of neutrophils [26] as we have observed in our healthy controls. Mice studies have suggested that the neutrophils residing in LNs in the absence of an infection [27] are a unique resident population. However, the functional consequence of this or its presence in humans has not been confirmed.

The influx of neutrophils observed in IA patients but not in HCs suggests a possible pathogenic role for these neutrophils; however, whether they are causative or a consequence of chronic inflammation is unclear. In our immunohistochemistry data we observed two distinct patient groups based on neutrophil presence within the three investigated IA subtypes, ACPA positive RA, ACPA negative RA and PsA. However, this was not related to any clinical parameters, or with the presence of active psoriasis lesions in the proximity of the inguinal region of PsA patients. The presence of neutrophils across all patient groups highlights that their presence is not unique to an IA subtype. Neutrophil presence was not related to current or previous treatment indicating that this aberrant expression is not a consequence of therapeutic intervention. It is important to note that although no increased CTSG mRNA expression was observed in the ACPA negative RA group, we did observe increased neutrophil presence at the protein level by immunohistochemistry. The only distinguishing characteristic of the two cohorts is the increased disease duration of the ACPA negative RA compared to ACPA positive RA and PsA (Supplementary Tables 3-4). Future studies exploring neutrophil presence in cohorts with varying disease durations are required to elucidate whether this neutrophil influx is a specific phenomenon observed in early arthritis.

Neutrophils are reported to be abundantly present in the synovium [8, 28] of IA patients and more specifically in the skin [29] and entheses [30] of PsA patients. The presence of neutrophils in these tissues, coupled with the known role of IL-17 producing T cells in PsA synovium [31] and the observed decrease in neutrophils upon successful treatment [6, 8, 32–34], further emphasizes a possibly pathogenic role for neutrophils in arthritis development. It would be of interest to explore the phenotype of these neutrophils residing in the LN as current evidence in mice and human LN suggests they have increased HLA-DR expression [26] compared to those present in the spleen or blood. Neutrophil trafficking studies in mice [35, 36] and in-vitro human co-culture experiments also suggest that neutrophils may function as early vessels for antigens [37, 38].

This current study is limited by the small sample size of our validation cohort for neutrophil presence. Nonetheless, considering the difficulty in obtaining these unique LN biopsies from active patients and healthy individuals, these findings clearly highlight a neutrophil population not previously identified in the LN. Human neutrophils, especially in tissues, are notoriously difficult to study. Our gene expression profiling approach using whole tissue biopsies allowed us to uncover a neutrophil gene signature which would not have been identified using more advanced single cell based methods due to the low abundance and short lifespan of neutrophils which would have hampered their survival upon tissue processing.

Conclusions

In conclusion, our study is the first to identify distinct gene signatures in human LN tissue biopsies in ACPA negative IA, highlighting an increased neutrophil presence in LNs during IA in absence of infection. Future studies are required to investigate the potential routes of entry, phenotype and cellular interactions of these LN neutrophils to fully understand their pathogenic role in IA pathogenesis.

Abbreviations

- LN Lymph node
- IA Inflammatory arthritis
- RA Rheumatoid arthritis
- PsA Psoriatic arthritis
- ACPA Anti-citrullinated peptide antibodies
- HC Healthy controls

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.or g/10.1186/s13075-025-03557-0.

Supplementary Material 1

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

Author contributions

AMOB and JWB contributed to the conception, design, acquisition of samples, experimentation, analysis and interpretation of the data and the realisation of the manuscript, with both authors contributing equally to this work. CvA, MvdH and JFS contributed to the design, acquisition of samples and experimentation. AJ and PDM contributed to the analysis and interpretation of data and the reviewing and editing of the submitted manuscript. MM contributed to the acquisition of samples. MGHS and LGMB contributed to the conception and design of the submitted manuscript. All authors read and the reviewing and editing of the submitted manuscript. All authors read and approved the final submitted manuscript.

Funding

VENI NWO Grant: 916.12.109 VIDI NWO Grant: 91718371 This project has received funding from the Innovative Medicines Initiative 2 Joint Undertaking (JU) under grant agreement No 101007757. The JU receives support from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme and EFPIA. This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No 847551 (ARCAID) This publication reflects only the author's view and the JU is not responsible for any use that may be made of the information it contains.

Data availability

The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Declarations

Ethical approval and consent to participate

All patients provided written informed consent prior to enrolment, the study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Amsterdam Medical Center, Amsterdam, the Netherlands.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Author details

¹Amsterdam UMC, Department of Rheumatology & Clinical Immunology, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

²Amsterdam UMC, Department of Experimental Immunology, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

³Amsterdam Institute for Immunology & Infectious Diseases, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

⁴Amsterdam Rheumatology and Immunology Center (ARC), Amsterdam, The Netherlands

⁵Amsterdam Public Health, Methodology, Amsterdam, The Netherlands ⁶Amsterdam UMC, University of Amsterdam, Epidemiology and Data Science, Amsterdam, Netherlands

⁷Amsterdam UMC, Department of Radiology, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Received: 7 February 2025 / Accepted: 10 April 2025 Published online: 23 April 2025

References

- Hensvold AH, Frisell T, Magnusson PK, Holmdahl R, Askling J, Catrina AI. How well do ACPA discriminate and predict RA in the general population: a study based on 12 590 population-representative Swedish twins. Ann Rheum Dis. 2017;76(1):119–25.
- Rantapaa-Dahlqvist S, de Jong BA, Berglin E, Hallmans G, Wadell G, Stenlund H, et al. Antibodies against Cyclic citrullinated peptide and IgA rheumatoid factor predict the development of rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheum. 2003;48(10):2741–9.
- Ramwadhdoebe TH, Hähnlein J, Maijer KI, van Boven LJ, Gerlag DM, Tak PP, et al. Lymph node biopsy analysis reveals an altered immunoregulatory balance already during the at-risk phase of autoantibody positive rheumatoid arthritis. Eur J Immunol. 2016;46(12):2812–21.
- Baeten D, Kruithof E, De Rycke L, et al. Infiltration of the synovial membrane with macrophage subsets and polymorphonuclear cells reflects global disease activity in spondyloarthropathy. Arthritis Res Ther. 2005;7(2):R359–R369.
- Goedkoop AY, Kraan MC, Picavet DI, De Rie MA, Bm Teunissen M, Bos JD, et al. Open access deactivation of endothelium and reduction in angiogenesis in psoriatic skin and synovium by low dose Infliximab therapy in combination with stable methotrexate therapy: a prospective single-centre study. Arthritis Res Ther. 2004;6:326–34.
- van Kuijk AW, Gerlag DM, Vos K, Wolbink G, de Groot M, de Rie MA, et al. A prospective, randomised, placebo-controlled study to identify biomarkers

associated with active treatment in psoriatic arthritis: effects of adalimumab treatment on synovial tissue. Ann Rheum Dis. 2009;68(8):1303–9.

- Goedkoop AY, Kraan MC, Teunissen MB, Picavet DI, de Rie MA, Bos JD, et al. Early effects of tumour necrosis factor alpha Blockade on skin and synovial tissue in patients with active psoriasis and psoriatic arthritis. Ann Rheum Dis. 2004;63(7):769–73.
- van Mens LJJ, van de Sande MGH, Menegatti S, Chen S, Blijdorp ICJ, de Jong HM, et al. Brief report: Interleukin-17 Blockade with Secukinumab in peripheral spondyloarthritis impacts synovial immunopathology without compromising systemic immune responses. Arthritis Rheumatol. 2018;70(12):1994–2002.
- de Hair MJ, Zijlstra IA, Boumans MJ, van de Sande MG, Maas M, Gerlag DM, et al. Hunting for the pathogenesis of rheumatoid arthritis: core-needle biopsy of inguinal lymph nodes as a new research tool. Ann Rheum Dis. 2012;71(11):1911–2.
- Noordenbos T, Yeremenko N, Gofita I, van de Sande M, Tak PP, Canete JD, et al. Interleukin-17-positive mast cells contribute to synovial inflammation in spondylarthritis. Arthritis Rheum. 2012;64(1):99–109.
- Ramwadhdoebe TH, Hahnlein J, Van Kuijk BJ, Choi IY, Van Boven LJ, Gerlag DM et al. Human lymph-node CD8+T cells display an altered phenotype during systemic autoimmunity. Clin Translational Immunol. 2016;5.
- Rodriguez-Carrio J, Hahnlein JS, Ramwadhdoebe TH, Semmelink JF, Choi IY, van Lienden KP, et al. Brief report: altered innate lymphoid cell subsets in human lymph node biopsy specimens obtained during the At-Risk and earliest phases of rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheumatol. 2017;69(1):70–6.
- Anang DC, Ramwadhdoebe TH, Hahnlein JS, van Kuijk B, Smits N, van Lienden KP et al. Increased Frequency of CD4(+) Follicular Helper T and CD8(+) Follicular T Cells in Human Lymph Node Biopsies during the Earliest Stages of Rheumatoid Arthritis. Cells. 2022;11(7).
- 14. Van Baarsen LGM, De Hair MJH, Ramwadhdoebe TH, Zijlstra IJAJ, Maas M, Gerlag DM, et al. The cellular composition of lymph nodes in the earliest phase of inflammatory arthritis. Ann Rheum Dis. 2013;72(8):1420–4.
- Ramwadhdoebe TH, Ramos MI, Maijer KI, van Lienden KP, Maas M, Gerlag DM et al. Myeloid dendritic cells are enriched in lymph node tissue of early rheumatoid arthritis patients but not in at risk individuals. Cells. 2019;8(7).
- Cunningham KY, Hur B, Gupta VK, Arment CA, Wright KA, Mason TG, et al. Patients with ACPA-positive and ACPA-negative rheumatoid arthritis show different serological autoantibody repertoires and autoantibody associations with disease activity. Sci Rep. 2023;13(1):5360.
- Malmstrom V, Catrina AI, Klareskog L. The Immunopathogenesis of seropositive rheumatoid arthritis: from triggering to targeting. Nat Rev Immunol. 2017;17(1):60–75.
- Firestein GS, McInnes IB. Immunopathogenesis Rheumatoid Arthritis Immun. 2017;46(2):183–96.
- Wu X, Liu Y, Jin S, Wang M, Jiao Y, Yang B, et al. Single-cell sequencing of immune cells from anticitrullinated peptide antibody positive and negative rheumatoid arthritis. Nat Commun. 2021;12(1):4977.
- Floudas A, Canavan M, McGarry T, Mullan R, Nagpal S, Veale DJ et al. ACPA status correlates with differential immune profile in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Cells. 2021;10(3).
- Aletaha D, Neogi T, Silman AJ, Funovits J, Felson DT, Bingham CO 3, et al. 2010 Rheumatoid arthritis classification criteria: an American college of rheumatology/european league against rheumatism collaborative initiative. Arthritis Rheum. 2010;62(9):2569–81.
- 22. Taylor W, Gladman D, Helliwell P, Marchesoni A, Mease P, Mielants H, et al. Classification criteria for psoriatic arthritis: development of new criteria from a large international study. Arthritis Rheum. 2006;54(8):2665–73.
- 23. Petri M, Orbai AM, Alarcôn GS, Gordon C, Merrill JT, Fortin PR, et al. Derivation and validation of the systemic lupus international collaborating clinics

classification criteria for systemic lupus erythematosus. Arthritis Rheum. 2012;64(8):2677–86.

- Wu D, Smyth GK. Camera: a competitive gene set test accounting for intergene correlation. Nucleic Acids Res. 2012;40(17):e133.
- Hampton HR, Bailey J, Tomura M, Brink R, Chtanova T. Microbe-dependent lymphatic migration of neutrophils modulates lymphocyte proliferation in lymph nodes. Nat Commun. 2015;6.
- Lok LSC, Dennison TW, Mahbubani KM, Saeb-Parsy K, Chilvers ER, Clatworthy MR. Phenotypically distinct neutrophils patrol uninfected human and mouse lymph nodes. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2019;116(38):19083–9.
- Bogoslowski A, Wijeyesinghe S, Lee WY, Chen CS, Alanani S, Jenne C, et al. Neutrophils recirculate through lymph nodes to survey tissues for pathogens. J Immunol. 2020;204(9):2552–61.
- Kruithof E, Baeten D, De Rycke L, Vandooren B, Foell D, Roth J, et al. Synovial histopathology of psoriatic arthritis, both oligo- and polyarticular, resembles spondyloarthropathy more than it does rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Res Ther. 2005;7(3):R569–80.
- Biasi D, Carletto A, Caramaschi P, Bellavite P, Maleknia T, Scambi C, et al. Neutrophil functions and IL-8 in psoriatic arthritis and in cutaneous psoriasis. Inflammation. 1998;22(5):533–43.
- Stavre Z, Bridgewood C, Zhou Q, Maeda Y, Huang Tt, Karman J et al. A role for neutrophils in early enthesitis in spondyloarthritis. Arthritis Res Therapy. 2022;24(1).
- Steel KJA, Srenathan U, Ridley M, Durham LE, Wu SY, Ryan SE, et al. Polyfunctional, proinflammatory, Tissue-Resident memory phenotype and function of synovial Interleukin-17A + CD8 + T cells in psoriatic arthritis. Arthritis Rheumatol. 2020;72(3):435–47.
- 32. Baeten D, Kruithof E, Van den Bosch F, Demetter P, Van Damme N, Cuvelier C, et al. Immunomodulatory effects of anti-tumor necrosis factor alpha therapy on synovium in spondylarthropathy: histologic findings in eight patients from an open-label pilot study. Arthritis Rheum. 2001;44(1):186–95.
- 33. de Groot M, Picavet DI, van Kuijk AW, Tak PP, Bos JD, de Rie MA, et al. A prospective, randomized, placebo-controlled study to identify biomarkers associated with active treatment in psoriatic arthritis: effects of adalimumab treatment on lesional and nonlesional skin. Dermatology. 2012;225(4):298–303.
- Wittkowski H, Foell D, af Klint E, De Rycke L, De Keyser F, Frosch M, et al. Effects of intra-articular corticosteroids and anti-TNF therapy on neutrophil activation in rheumatoid arthritis. Ann Rheum Dis. 2007;66(8):1020–5.
- Maletto BA, Ropolo AS, Alignani DO, Liscovsky MV, Ranocchia RP, Moron VG, et al. Presence of neutrophil-bearing antigen in lymphoid organs of immune mice. Blood. 2006;108(9):3094–102.
- Castell SD, Harman MF, Moron G, Maletto BA, Pistoresi-Palencia MC. Neutrophils which migrate to lymph nodes modulate CD4(+) T cell response by a PD-L1 dependent mechanism. Front Immunol. 2019;10:105.
- Megiovanni AM, Sanchez F, Robledo-Sarmiento M, Morel C, Gluckman JC, Boudaly S. Polymorphonuclear neutrophils deliver activation signals and antigenic molecules to dendritic cells: a new link between leukocytes upstream of T lymphocytes. J Leukoc Biol. 2006;79(5):977–88.
- Alfaro C, Suarez N, Onate C, Perez-Gracia JL, Martinez-Forero I, Hervas-Stubbs S, et al. Dendritic cells take up and present antigens from viable and apoptotic polymorphonuclear leukocytes. PLoS ONE. 2011;6(12):e29300.

Publisher's note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.